From: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Dulce Report
Message-ID: <138993.2A566EB0@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 5 Jul 92 03:20:06 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 127

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from Michael Corbin
 * Originally dated 07-04-92 20:17

The following was uploaded by a user on ParaNet. It is being provided in its entirety for information purposes only. ParaNet or its affiliates makes no claims to its truthfulness or validity and does not endorse its contents in any way.

As a further note: Under paragraph (3E), it mentions that there are buildings with "five-sided with a dome," ParaNet has seen photographic evidence of such buildings. These buildings are not buildings as one would think of them, but what appear to be wilderness observation platforms. The Dulce Report denies any of these buildings appeared in their investigation.

THE DULCE REPORT
NUMBER 920527

MAY 27, 1992

A Field Investigation and Evaluation

A PHOENIX PROJECT REPORT
The "Phoenix Project Reports"
Are Published By
ADVENT PUBLISHING COMPANY
P.O. Box 3748
Carson City, NV 89702

Entire Contents Copyright (c) 1992
by
The Phoenix Project

Logo
A Registered Trademark tm (r)
Permission to quote is granted provided
The Phoenix Project is acknowledged as the source and the Report

Title and Date are included in any quotes.
Reproduction of any Phoenix Project Report or Logo, in any form or by any means is not permissible without written authorization from the publisher.

WHAT IS THE PHOENIX PROJECT?

The Phoenix Project is a private, civilian, research organization formed in 1952 to investigate and correlate information concerning Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) and Extra-Terrestrial (ET) activities. It has no affiliation with the United States Government or any of its agencies. Because of the nature of its work the Phoenix Project does not seek publicity.

Staff members are former military personnel who have all been associated with intelligence activities, and have knowledge of covert government operations concerning UFO's. Their knowledge of the specialized field of ~intelligence correlation,~ provide unique insights into various subject matter.

From time-to-time and in the public interest, the Phoenix Project will publish research reports regarding certain subjects. A list of reports is available from our publisher. All correspondence should be addressed to:

The Phoenix Project
C/O Advent Publishing Company
P.O. Box 3748
Carson City, NV 89702
[[Contributor's Note ... A complete printed copy of this report, including all exhibits, can be obtained for a minimal cost by contacting the above address]]

IMPORTANT NOTE

The name "Phoenix" is used by many different publishers and organizations as a part of the name used in their various publications.

To avoid any false association with or confusion that might be caused by a similar name, all publications of the "Phoenix Project" bear the Project's Logo (a registered trade-mark) and are published exclusively by Advent Publishing Company. The "Phoenix Project" is not affiliated with any other publication, publisher, organization or group.

In particular, there is no affiliation with a publisher known as America West, any of its publications, or the individuals known as George and Desiree Green, all of Tehachapi, California.

The Dulce Report

In recent years, volumes of information have circulated within the UFO research community regarding the alleged presence of a joint U.S.\Alien base in the area of Dulce, New Mexico.

In an attempt to either prove or disprove the information, the

Phoenix Project has completed an intense investigation of the Dulce area. Our investigation encompassed the following:

1. A detailed physical search of the Archuleta Mesa by vehicle and on foot.

2. The physical exploration of a large area surrounding the Town of Dulce.

3. Interviews with local citizens, native American Indians of the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation and Rio Arriba County Sheriff and highway maintenance personnel.

4. A search of County building and construction permits and records, and interviews to determine if any large scale civilian or government construction projects ever occurred in the area from 1930 to the present.

5. A comprehensive aerial survey and airborne magnetometer survey of the Archuleta Mesa, the Town of Dulce, the Archuleta Mesa, and surrounding areas.

An Overview

Re: Dulce

The Town of Dulce, is located in northern New Mexico near the southern border of Colorado. Dulce is located eighty-three miles northeast of Farmington, New Mexico on U.S. Route 64. See Map Exhibit 1.

It has a population of 1,648 and is nestled in a valley. Just to the north and overlooking the town is the large Archuleta Mesa. The town is at an altitude of 6,825 feet above sea level. The main income producing activity in the area is cattle ranching. The business and service activities are typical of those needed in a community of this size. There are no military or industrial activities, large or small, in the area. See Photo Exhibits 4 and 5.

In order to present the results of our investigation it is necessary to refer to items of information, widely available to UFO researchers, concerning the Dulce, U.S.\Alien base. In the following, we will quote from those items of information. We will attempt to identify the source whenever possible. This will be followed by our findings.

The Investigation

1) Source Information Excerpts: Dulce Papers.Txt Author Unknown

...A joint US/EBE facility exists beneath the Archuleta Mesa near the town of DULCE NEW MEXICO. (1A) This facility has been in existence in one form or another since 1948.

(1B) ...The facility proper is located one kilometer underground.

(1C) The base is approximately 2.5 miles northwest of Dulce, and almost overlooks the town.

(1D) There is a paved government road 36 feet wide going into the area.

* * *

Findings of Phoenix Project Investigation (PHX) regarding the foregoing:

(PHX-1A) No substantiating County records confirm this. Local residents have no memory of any government installation, civilian or military ever having existed in this area.

(PHX-1B) Same as 1A. There is no record or memory of any underground heavy construction, excavation, or mining activity in the area.

(PHX-1C) See Map Exhibit 3.

(PHX-1D) See Map Exhibits 2 and 3, and Photo Exhibits 6 and 7. The road in question is Indian Reservation Road # J-2. It has never been labeled a "government" road. This road is not paved, as described in the source material. It is a narrow, two lane dirt road (barely twenty (20) feet wide that is completely open for public use.

* * *

2) Source Information Excerpts: 1988 Krill2.Txt

...During the occupation of the Greys, they have established quite a number of underground bases all over the world, especially in the United States. (2A) One such base (among others in the same state) is under Archuleta Mesa, which is about 2.5 miles northwest of Dulce, New Mexico.

The foregoing extract is from a transcript of a conversation between Jim McCampbell and Dr. Paul Bennewitz on July 13, 1984.

* * *

Findings:

(PHX-2A) This refers to the previously mentioned Indian Reservation Road # J-2. The road at this point is at the base of the Archuleta Mesa. See Map Exhibit 3 and Photo Exhibit 7.

* * *

3) Source Information Excerpts: 1988 Krill2.Txt

(3A) ...Bennewitz reports he was able to determine the location of the underground facility: a kilometer underground beneath Archuleta Mesa on the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation near Dulce, New Mexico (since 1976, one of the areas of the U.S. hardest hit by mutilations). (3B) Bennewitz' information is that this installation is operated jointly as part of an on-going program of cooperation between the U.S. government and the EBEs.

Back to the base under discussion. After Bennewitz briefed Air Force officials on what he had found, a trip to the area revealed the following data:

(3C) The base is 2.5 miles northwest of Dulce, and almost overlooks the town. (3D) There is a level highway 36 feet wide going into the area. It is a government road. (3E) One can see telemetry trailers and buildings that are five-sided with a dome. Next to the domes, a black limousine was noted -- a CIA vehicle. (3F) These limos will run you off the road if you try to get into the area. (3G) To the north there is a launch site. (3H) There are two wrecked ships there; they are 36 feet long with wings, and one can see oxygen and hydrogen tanks. The ships that we got out of the trade are atomic-powered with plutonium pellets. Refueling of the plutonium is accomplished at Los Alamos. (3I) The base has been there since 1948.

Some of the disks are piloted by the NSA. (3J) The base is 4,000 feet long (3K) and helicopters are going in and out of there all the time. When it became known that Bennewitz was familiar with this, the mutilations in the area stopped. (3L) In 1979, something happened and the base was temporarily closed. There was an argument over weapons and our people were chased out. The aliens killed 66 of our people, and 44 got away.

One of the people who in fact got away was a CIA agent who, before leaving, made some notes, photos, and videotapes, and went into hiding. He has been in hiding ever since, and every six months he contacts each of five people he left copies of the material with. His instructions were that if he missed four successive contacts, the people could do whatever they want with the material and was received in December, 1987, by many researchers. The "Dulce Papers" were composed of 25 black and white photos, a videotape with no dialogue and a set of papers that included technical information regarding the jointly occupied (U.S.-Alien) facility one kilometer beneath the Archuleta Mesa near Dulce, New Mexico. (3M) The facility still exists and is currently operational.

* * *

Findings:

(PHX-3A) Refer to (PHX-1A & 1B.

(PHX-3B) Refer to (PHX-1A & 1B). There are no records, nor is there any physical evidence to substantiate this allegation.

(PHX-3C) The elevation at the location described, where Reservation Road J-2 crosses the Navajo River, is not high enough to overlook the Town of Dulce. The road is not level and it is not 36-feet wide. See Map Exhibit 2.

(PHX-3D) See (PHX-1D). The road is not level and it is not 36-feet wide. It is a narrow dirt road that climbs, slowly, with many curves, around the base of Archuleta Mesa. See Photo Exhibit 7.

(PHX-3E) Local residents and County highway maintenance crews have no memory of any such trailers, buildings, domes or limousines in this area. Physical investigation reveals there is no area where such structures ever existed.

(PHX-3F) The Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Office has no record of any reports, ever, having been filed by motorists forced off the road by "any" type vehicle. As mentioned previously, this is a Reservation Road used by the Indians, ranchers and the general public. If any occurrences, such as those described ever occurred, it would have been the "talk-of-the-town." No residents interviewed, remember any such events.

(PHX-3G) Physical investigation, on foot, failed to reveal any evidence of a "launch" site at the location described.

(PHX-3H) There are no physical indications of any kind, and there are no cleared areas of sufficient size to provide space for two crashed ships or the oxygen and fuel tanks described. This includes both sides of the road, all the way around the mesa. See Map Exhibit 3.

(PHX-3I) There are no indications of any such facilities. No one remembers any such facilities. Such facilities are not there today.

(PHX-3J) A complete examination of the area and the top of the mesa show no evidence of any 4000 foot long cleared area. If such ever existed, scars in the landscape would still be present. See Map Exhibit 3.

(PHX-3K) Physical examination does not reveal past or present helicopter landing pads anywhere in the area. Local residents do not remember any extensive helicopter activity, of any kind, in the area.

(PHX-3L) There is no record or local memory of any military presence, garrison, or troop movements, past or present, in the Dulce area. Since there is only one major highway into and out of Dulce, the presence of any military truck convoys or ambulances would not have gone unoticed by the local residents. And, as mentioned previously, there has never been any major helicopter activity that could have brought in airborne troops.

(PHX-3M) Contrary to the statements in the Krill2 information, there is no physical indication of any installation in the Dulce area or in, around or underneath the Archuleta Mesa. Both ground and aerial surveys do not indicate any suspicious terrain features.

An airborne magnetometer survey of the described areas reveal no unusual underground features that could be interpreted as a large underground base. If an underground base was located 1-KM beneath the Archuleta Mesa, and it was 3-KM wide by 8-KM long, its presence would be revealed by the magnetometer. Any underground facility of the size described would be easily detected even if it was buried 5-KM below the mesa. It would be more than obvious. It would "shout" its presence. The Magnetometer Contour Chart indicates no hidden underground facility or any unusual excavations or caverns. See Exhibit-9.

* * *

4) Source Information Excerpts: PROJECT BETA BY DR. PAUL BENNEWITZ

(4A)...numerous road blocks extend northward. (4B) Maintained road some thirty feet wide and servicing facilities, tanks, etc. There is also an apparent foundation for another helo pad_____ (4C) human housing, and another black limousine with tracks leading to it west of the road.

...The total alien basing area apparently contains several cultures, (all under the designation 'unity') (4D) and is approx 3km wide by 8km long and is located in the middle of nowhere on the Jicarilla Indian Reservation west of Dulce, NM.

...Based on the number of ships presently in this area, the total alien population is estimated to be at least 2,000 and most likely more.

----- Logistical plans -----

...initial logistics would indicate a plan sequentially implemented as follows: This plan does not include all requirements and preparatory safety measures to be employed by the ground force; however, if Air Force Intelligence desires to pursue the approaches suggested in this report, each significant requirement will be discussed in depth.

(4E) The attack must be directed almost entirely on the ground since vehicle ignition problems will be encountered. All electrical and electronic equipment must be 'hardened' using specific techniques perfected prior to implementation. This information has been checked by interaction and eavesdropping on their communication channels _____as far as weaknesses are concerned. The program would be instigated in phases:

(4F)
1) The first procedure would be to close the gates of the dam above the Navajo River. This dam could be held closed for the duration. Internal to the one cave, there is a small dam for water storage. Its capacity is small.

(4G) There is also a discharge outlet downstream that could be closed, causing waste water to back up into the caves. The water is vacuum pumped apparently by some electrostatic means from the river. (4H) There is a water intake and dam upstream that can be totally cut off and the water re-routed to Chama, New Mexico.

* * *

Findings:

(PHX-4A) There are no roadblocks on any roads in the Dulce Area. See Photo Exhibit 7, of Reservation Road # J-2. There are no roadblocks present. According to County Maintenance Crews, the only time Road J-2 was blocked was years ago when it was "washed-out" by heavy rains in the area.

(PHX-4B) Reservation Road # J-2, 2.5 miles northwest of Dulce, is a narrow, winding, dirt road. It does not match the description in the source information.

(PHX-4C) No such facilities exist. No one ever remembers the existence of any such facilities.

(PHX-4D) Investigations on foot, by vehicle, and by aerial survey, show no evidence of secret entrances to any facility or a base as large as the one described in the source information.

(PHX-4E) An Electro-Magnetic "spectrum sweep" of the Archuleta Mesa and the Town of Dulce, revealed no unusual electromagnetic disturbances. The entire area was surveyed by a "Total Field" Magnetometer. No unusual magnetic disturbances were recorded.

(PHX-4F) There are no records of any "Dams" on the Navajo River anywhere near the Dulce area or north of the Archuleta Mesa. The "Dam" described in the source information does not exist.

(PHX-4G) An "on-foot" survey of the Navajo River did not reveal the existence of any "discharge outlets" downstream. There is no evidence of any caves. The Indians interviewed did not know of

any caves, along the river, as described in the source information.

(PHX-4H) There is no "water intake" or "dam" upstream on the Navajo River. The lack of any "dams" can be verified by consulting any topographical or aeronautical charts of the area. Re-routing the Navajo River, by damming it, to Chama, New Mexico, as described by Bennewitz, would be a miraculous feat. Chama, New Mexico is at an elevation of 8,025 feet above sea level, as indicated by Aeronautical Chart # 45 dated July 25, 1991. Also noted, on this same chart, is the elevation of Dulce as 6,800 feet above sea level. It would be a natural impossibility to have the water of the Navajo River re-routed to Chama, as the water would have flow up-hill over the Continental Divide that separates the two towns. The mountainous terrain ranges from 9,025 to 9,916 feet above sea level with no obvious gravitational advantages between the two towns. Such an effort would require the installation on a monster pipeline and pumping station to accomplish this. No such pipeline or pumping station has ever been built in this area.

* * * 5) Source Information Excerpt Thisisit.Txt Wm. C. Cooper

...The alien underground base is located beneath an indian reservation near the small town of Dulce, New Mexico...

* * *

Findings:

All of the previous "Findings" apply to this source information.

* * *

CONCLUSIONS:

Information from many sources regarding the existence of an alleged U.S.\Alien Base at Dulce, New Mexico, does not agree with the facts obtained by the investigation carried out by The Phoenix Project. It would appear that the information describing this alleged U.S./Alien Base and the numerous facilities associated with it, is entirely false. This would indicate deliberate disinformation supplied to UFO investigators and all those interested in UFO research. It seems that this disinformation is designed to mis-lead and mis-direct serious researchers away from the truth. It seems incredible that serious UFO researchers and the UFO Movement, in general, could accept the information regarding a large U.S.\Alien Base near Dulce, without making a detailed and complete investigation.

The facts revealed by the Phoenix Project investigation do not support the presence of a joint U.S.\Alien base near Dulce -- and it is not in, around, or under the Archuleta Mesa. Perhaps there is a such a base somewhere else on the Jicarilla Indian

Reservation, but if that is true, it seems strange that the Apaches, the local residents and the proper local authorities have no knowledge of its presence or that such a base ever existed.

From a practical stand-point, a base as large as the one described in the information supplied by the sources quoted, along with a staff of 2000 aliens and their human co-workers, would need to meet certain logistical requirements. Any sizeable joint U.S.\Alien base, located anywhere, would need to meet the same requirements.

1. The presence of a large, highly technical, human work-force.

2. Support facilities for such a human work-force, i.e., housing, medical, recreational, service and shopping, and service support facilities.

3. There would obviously be work-shifts with workers coming and going at certain hours. None of this activity could be totally concealed. This activity would be recognized by other people in the area.

4. Such a base would require operating supplies which would require incoming freight shipments. Delivering such supplies would require delivery trucks or some form of air transport. Such activity, on a regular basis, would not go un-noticed by local residents.

5. If such a base existed, above ground or underground, there would need to be security guards, fenced or controlled areas and regular perimeter patrols. A relationship with local law enforcement officials would be required. The presence of such security forces and patrols would not go un-noticed by residents in the area.

6. Local authorities, i.e., city, county, and law enforcement, would know if such a base or facility existed in their area.

Please note. None of the foregoing criteria exist, or have existed, in or around the Town of Dulce or anywhere on the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation. Nor do they exist in any other "remote areas" where there are suspected U.S. or joint U.S\Alien bases. Thus, one can only conclude that such bases cannot remain "hidden" in remote areas. Someone will always know or remember that something strange is going-on in any remote area.

Where then, should one look for such bases? The answer should be obvious. Such bases must meet the requirements outlined previously. They are located in known areas which meet all the logistical requirements. There are any number of government or military installations in New Mexico that easily meet such requirements. However, gaining entry to such facilities, to prove

that such a base exists, would prove difficult if not impossible to any civilian researcher without the proper credentials, security clearances and a "need-to-know."

The following pages contain the maps, photographs and scientific exhibits associated with this Report.

Signed,

The Phoenix Project

END OF FILE
PARANET FILENAME: DULCEDOC.TXT

--
Michael Corbin - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (sm)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: K2 - Suspected Alien Base Discovery
Message-ID: <139009.2A56875B@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 5 Jul 92 05:12:08 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 121

* Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
* Originally from ParaNet(sm) Information Service
* Originally dated 07-04-92 22:08

Below is a seven part message concerning an alleged discovery of an alien base in Northern California. This information has not been verified! ParaNet is providing it for information only and is not to be construed as an endorsement of the material or the Phoenix Project. Anyone with further information is encouraged to contact ParaNet at 303-431-8796, or via electronic mail at the following addresses:

Internet - mcorbin@paranet.org
Fidonet - 1:104/422

THE K-2 REPORT: The Discovery of a Secret Alien Base In Northern California. Report Number 920627. May 27, 1992.

The "Phoenix Project Reports" Are Published By ADVENT PUBLISHING COMPANY P.O. Box 3748 Carson City, NV 89702

Entire Contents Copyright (c) 1992 by The Phoenix Project

Permission to quote is granted provided the Phoenix Project is acknowledged as the source and the Report Title and Date are included in any quotes.

Reproduction of any Phoenix Project Report or Logo, in any form or by any means, is not permissible without written authorization from the publisher

WHAT IS THE PHOENIX PROJECT?

The Phoenix Project is a private, civilian, research organization formed in 1952 to investigate and correlate information concerning Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) and Extra-Terrestrial (ET) activities. It has no affiliation with the United States Government or any of its agencies. Because of the nature of its work the Phoenix Project does not seek publicity.

Staff members are former military personnel who have all been associated with intelligence activities, and have knowledge of covert government operations concerning UFO's. Their knowledge of the specialized field of "intelligence correlation," provide unique insights into various subject matter.

From time-to-time and in the public interest, the Phoenix Project will publish research reports regarding certain subjects. A list of reports is available from our publisher. All correspondence should be addressed to:

The Phoenix Project C/O Advent Publishing Company P.O. Box 3748 Carson City, NV 89702

IMPORTANT NOTE

The name "Phoenix" is used by many different publishers and organizations as a part of the name used in their various publications.

To avoid any false association with or confusion that might be caused by a similar name, all publications of the "Phoenix Project" bear the Project's Logo (a registered trade-mark) and are published exclusively by Advent Publishing Company. The "Phoenix Project" is not affiliated with any other publication, publisher, organization or group.

In particular, there is no affiliation with a publisher known as America West, of Carson City, Nevada, any of its publications, or the individuals known as George and Desiree Green, of Tehachapi, California.

INTRODUCTION TO THE K-2 REPORT

SPECIAL NOTE:

June 27, 1992

The original "K-2 Special Report," dated July 28, 1989 and included in this document, was classified "confidential" for circulation within the Phoenix Project only. It was not released for public distribution. There are compelling reasons for making it public at this time, and to update this information. Note the following:

1) In the last decade, there has been a growing number of reports of hostile activity by alien beings, directed against humanity.

2) Various Departments and Agencies of the United States Government have increased their activity on two different levels.

One: They have stepped-up the level of their covert dis-information campaign -- a campaign aimed at disrupting the civilian UFO movement. This is evidenced by an increasing quantity of dis-information supplied to individuals, organizations and the public. It is an onoing program to create wide-spread confusion throughout the UFO movement, to splinter organizations, and to discredit any investigators who get near the truth. Many researchers are spinning-their-wheels chasing down, deliberately planted, false leads. The tactics employed are aimed at diverting attention away from critical areas where the truth would be revealed.

Trained operatives have infiltrated the UFO movement, poising as sincere and trusted investigators while others adopt the roles of professional skeptics and debunkers. By covering and controlling both sides-of-theence, they insure that the truth remains hidden.

Two: This duplicity extends to their relations with the aliens. On one hand they continue the charade of working with and assisting the aliens -- to obtain the advanced alien technology, while they secretly develop operations and weapons systems to counter the threat the aliens represent.

The aliens have indulged in the same duplicity. Saying one thing to the super-powers, to create an appetite for superior technology, while carrying out their own covert activities against humanity. They have created wellidden bases of their own. Bases that allow them to continue their covert operations without government interference or scrutiny. Bases unknown to the various world governments.

It would be untrue to say that the U.S. Government doesn't suspect the existence of hidden alien bases. However, to date, they have had shown little success in locating them.

It is not the intention or purpose of the Phoenix Project to judge the government's actions or the actions of those

responsible and involved in the UFO coverup activity. Our efforts are not part of a vindictive crusade against the government. In our opinion, the public has a right to know the facts and information revealed by our investigations. If this proves to be an embarrassment to those responsible for concealing such information, they have only themselves to blame.

Our efforts may help wiser heads in the government and elsewhere, to realize that the aliens have hidden objectives of their own. Objectives they have not made known to the government and humanity. Wiser heads may also realize that Washington, Langley and Fort Meade do not have exclusive possession of that called "intelligence" or intelligence data. Perhaps they will finally recognize that "others" are able to obtain, correlate and evaluate important information on their own. A rational person might realize the value and importance of cooperation with, rather than antagonism against, others who possess valuable information.

The objective of the Phoenix Project in making this report public is to accomplish the following:

1) To reveal to the U.S. Government, civilian UFO investigators and the public, the existence and location of a hidden alien base. It will also become known that the aliens have used, and are continuing to use, without their knowledge or consent, personnel of the U.S. Forest Service to help conceal the presence of this base. Details concerning this are included in the updated information supplement in the Exhibit Section of this report.

2) Revealing the location of this base will render it useless to the aliens. This will severely impact part of their covert activity against humanity.

3) To reveal one aspect of the alien threat to the public.

HOW THE INVESTIGATION BEGAN AND THE ALIEN BASE WAS DISCOVERED

The Phoenix Project investigation into this matter began in early June of 1989. Our Staff Member # 2, then, overheard a strange short-wave radio transmission that aroused his interest. Every night, for two weeks, he monitored this unique radio transmission. Then, using radio direction-finding equipment, he was able to locate the source of the transmission. By using triangulation techniques he found the signal was coming from an area some forty miles northeast of his location.

On June 10, 1989, he recorded the strange radio transmission. The broadcast signal lasted nearly an hour. The recording was sent to the Project's Headquarters for further analysis. Our analysis of the recording caused the Project to launch a major investigation into this matter. As a side project, our Staff decided to "test" the current degree of government secrecy regarding UFO research. We created a test.

THE TEST

Our staff contacted Dr. Peter Sturrock at Stanford Research Institute in Palo Alto, California. Dr. Sturrock enjoys a quiet reputation of being a "concerned" and reliable UFO Researcher. We requested that he conduct a further study and analysis of the recording. Dr. Sturrock was very interested and cooperative -- at first. However, very quickly, his attitude changed. Within a week, and acting very reserved and guarded, he phoned us stating he couldn't have anything further to do with this matter and that Stanford Research could not analyze the recording. No further explanation was offered. He suggested we take the recording to Dr. James Harder at NASA's Ames Research Center in Mountain View, California. We thanked Dr. Sturrock for his initial interest and expressed our regrets that he was unable to pursue the matter further. In the next day's mail, we received the recording and our analysis notes from Dr. Sturrock.

A courier delivered the recording to NASA's Dr. Harder, in person, the following day. A week later we called to ask how the analysis was progressing. Dr. Harder was not available when we phoned, but his secretary could not recall having received any recording from us. Therefore, there was nothing to analyze and they were unable to assist us further. The tone of the conversation was abrupt and had the ring of an obvious dismissal.

Fortunately, the "lost" recording was a copy and not the original. It was becoming very obvious that Dr. Sturrock and Stanford Research, and that Dr. Harder and NASA, were not going to reveal what their analysis of the recording revealed. Again, the official research community had failed our "test." It was time to move on.

Meanwhile, the Project's Staff decided to investigate the location thought to be the source of the strange radio transmission.

On June 15, 1989, a Phoenix Project Survey Team made a preliminary investigation to locate the site and origin of the mysterious radio transmission. The site was located and a preliminary investigation was completed.

A second site survey and more detailed investigation was conducted on June 28, 1989. The results of this second survey prompted the need for an in-depth scientific survey and investigation of the site.

On July 19, 1989, the third survey was launched. A well-equipped science and investigative team arrived at the K-2 Site for a three-day effort. For security reasons, at the time, the Phoenix Project assigned the code name "K-2" to the site area and the

investigative effort. The results of all three site surveys and the results of the investigation, were compiled the following week. The following report reveals the details of that investigation.

Date of original report: July 28, 1989

Revised for updated information: June 22, 1992

K-2 -- A SPECIAL REPORT

A SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

K-2 is the Phoenix Project code name for a specific geographical site in Northern California. It has been determined, by investigation, that this site is the location of a previously unknown and undiscovered extra-terrestrial (alien) installation and base of operations.

To date, the U.S. Government and its various intelligence agencies has given no indication that they are aware of the existence of the K-2 Alien Base or its location. It is also unknown to the general public and to leading UFO researchers. This report is the first time its existence has been revealed.

Alien spacecraft seen arriving and departing from this base, and the small, grey, alien creatures seen at this location, lead to the inescapable conclusion that this base was built by, and is operated by, an alien race from the star system Zeta Reticuli. This race, known in covert government and select public circles, and to UFO researchers, has been named the Greys.

CONCEALMENT FEATURES

The site of this secret alien base is within the Plumas National Forest. The base is well-concealed and hidden underground, inside a "land-mark" mountain -ilot Peak, in northern California. This site is located in Plumas County, on a remote and isolated stretch of county road between the towns of La Porte and Quincy. See Exhibit 1.

The site is isolated and cut-off from general access, except from the air or the use of snowmobiles, or ski's, 6 months of the year because of heavy snow conditions. A well constructed and permanent "fire" lookout tower on top of Pilot Peak (K-2) was suddenly abandoned several years ago. Discussions with local U.S. Forest Rangers, indicate there are no intentions of ever manning or re-activating the fire lookout station. Budgetary problems were not a factor. The explanation given, was that the lookout was obsolete. Fire spotting, these days, according to the rangers, is better accomplished by patrolling aircraft.

This explanation, (in 1989) seems suspect and insubstantial, at best. Evidence to support these claims are provided by the fact that other fire lookout stations, in nearby areas, are operational and manned throughout the peak summer fire season. Why is the K-2 fire lookout an exception?

An outstanding "air approach corridor," provides incoming and outgoing alien spacecraft with exceptional concealment. The "air corridor" leading to and from this hidden base is, somehow, not covered by either military or civilian radar or air traffic control. This has been verified by examination of aeronautical charts of the area. Terrain features, also, provide excellent concealment from visual observation. See Exhibit 2.

TERRAIN FEATURES OF THE SITE:

The main area of the Alien base is a "U" shaped bowl cradled at the northern end and at the foot of Pilot Peak and its associated mountain ridges. The site is protected on three sides by 400 to 600 foot mountain ridges. Two, level terrain, landing zones 200 to 300 feet in diameter are present. Well concealed and expertly camouflaged entrances to the Alien base are located near each of the landing zones. See Exhibit 3.

High mountains and deep valleys, leading to and from the open mouth of the "U" shaped site, provides a 20 mile approach and departure corridor that is secure from ground-based radar, civil or military. The deep valley and canyons also prevent visual observation of low-flying spacecraft. Visual observation from high-flying military or civilian jet traffic is not likely, as the site is not on or near an established air traffic route. See Exhibit 17.

LANDING SITE EVIDENCE

There are some physical indications present at both landing sites, Landing Zone 1 and 2 (indentations in the ground, large circular areas of flattened native grass, and small amounts of residual radio-active radiation). Most striking, however, is the fact that there is an unusual absence of animal or rodent presence. There are no animals; animal tracks, rodents, snakes, ground squirrels or chipmunks present in or around the landing sites. However, these creatures are present everywhere else in the area. Birds, also present elsewhere, seem to avoid flying over or through the landing areas. Insect life, particularly flying insects -- deer flies, common flies and mosquitoes, are present everywhere. Other researchers have established the fact that the lack of animal or rodent presence in UFO landing areas is significant.

AREA NEAR CONCEALED BASE

To the casual observer visiting the K-2 site, everything would appear quite normal. The area seems peaceful and serene. However, in the general area of the concealed Alien base, to an experienced observer, it suggests and entirely different picture. The area is too tranquil and perfect, both in appearance and in the general atmosphere of the place. The term "picture perfect" is appropriate. This setting is completely unrealistic and paradoxical when compared with other areas a mere half-mile away.

SURVEY PARTY OBSERVATIONS

On three occasions (in 1989) trained investigators of the Phoenix Project have visited and investigated the K-2 site. They have all experienced and observed unusual phenomena and conditions in the area.

1) An uncanny stillness (the absence of normal sounds) during the day. This was even more evident during the hours of darkness. No animal sounds. No owls hooting. No birds chirping. No cries from coyotes. The absence of these normal sounds in the area was unsettling. This phenomenon has been observed on every visit to this site.

2) All the investigators experienced independent and unprompted feelings of being observed by unseen watchers. This was particularly evident on the first visit to the site. Each member of the survey party, independently, and with no solicitation or prompting from each other, reported, afterwards, strong feelings of being watched and frequently they turned around to look behind them. However, no unseen watchers were discovered. This left a strong impression on the survey party.

3) On the first survey there were observations of unusual cloud behavior. Clouds that formed out of nowhere. Clouds that appeared and disappeared with sudden quickness. Thick, angry looking, grey clouds that billowed up in ominous manner, with a "boiling" and rolling end-over-end motion, that quickly enveloped the entire site area. Survey party members wondered if the atmosphere was, somehow, being controlled. All of this activity was confined to the K-2 site area. A half-mile away, in any direction, the sky was clear.

4) Observations on the first and second visits to the site, revealed that an earthen dike (erected to maintain a 6 foot water level in a large pond near the concealed secret base) had very recently, been deliberately breached over a ten foot width, dropping the pond's water level to a 6 inch depth. Shovel marks were evident in the breach area. The lack of erosion, on the sides of the breached area, indicated its recent nature.

However, on the third visit this breach had been expertly repaired. There were heavy equipment tracks (a bulldozer's) in and around the dike. Earth, dug from a nearby hill, was used to repair the dike. Forest Rangers, who patrol the area several

times each day, when questioned about this, were at a loss to explain the repairs. No one using heavy equipment had been observed in the area. According to the Rangers, the pond is not used for any known purpose -- yet it's there. Someone recently breached it to lower the water level. Then, someone, unknown and unobserved, repaired it. See Exhibit 15.

Why the pond with no known purpose or function? Why breach it? Why repair it? Why wasn't the use of heavy equipment or the repairs, noticed by the patrolling Rangers? It should be stated that the Rangers are very observant and notice everything going on in their area, as our questions revealed. Why is K-2, this Alien base, seemingly, exempt?

5) The county road between the towns of La Porte and Quincy is a rough, poorly maintained, dirt road for some 30 miles. Rough dirt roads are prevalent throughout the area for miles in all directions. There are no towns, settlements, fishing areas, camp grounds, or anything else of significance, anywhere in the area near Pilot Peak (K-2). This site is out in the middle of nowhere. Yet -- the county road for 2-1/2 miles either side of the entrance to the K-2 site, is a modern, well maintained, black-topped, 2 lane highway. Before and after this 5 mile stretch of modern road, it is again a dirt road. Why? No one questioned by our investigators had any explanation. And, more curiously, no one seemed the least bit curious about it. Why? Refer to Exhibits 1 and 3.

6) There is a rough, hard-packed, dirt road leading to K-2 from the town of La Porte. It is a narrow 2 lane road. It is not frequently traveled. On the third visit to the K-2 site, extraordinary logging activity, by "two" major logging companies, were evident. Only the trees within 20 feet on either side of this dirt road are being cut and hauled to sawmills. When questioned, the loggers were uncommunicative regarding this activity. However, there is every reason to believe, from the nature of this activity, that this road is going to be widened and improved. Possibly, even black-topped.

One must remember that this road is an obscure county road that is infrequently used. It provides a secondary connection between the two towns of La Porte and Quincy, some thirty miles apart. Why the activity to widen and improve it? Why improve a road that is hardly used by the general public?

It must be remembered that all these secondary county roads are totally impassible, because of heavy snow, for 6 months of the year. No efforts are made during the winter to keep them open and clear. In fact, just outside of La Porte there is a heavy metal gate that is used to block the road during the winter months. Why, then, all this activity? What purpose is being served?

METHODS USED TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE, LOCATION AND SIZE OF THE ALIEN BASE

Since the only way to conceal a large installation is to bury it underground, or in the depths of an ocean, out of sight, only certain types of sophisticated scientific instruments can reveal the underground presence of such an installation. Foremost among these instruments, is that known as a portable "total field" magnetometer. This instrument is widely used by professional geologists to detect the presence of valuable underground minerals, oil, and water deposits. It is also used by sophisticated archaeologists to detect the remains of ancient buried cities, caverns and other valuable sites of past civilizations.

A magnetometer is a precision instrument that measures minute changes or deviations in the Earth's magnetic field within a given area. All geological features: mountains, lava beds, rivers, streams and lakes, plains, meadows and plateaus, and even grains of sand, eventually, over thousands of years, align their molecular magnetic structure with the prevailing direction of the Earth's magnetic field. Earthquakes, volcanoes and geological up-thrusts, and their resultant forces, in creating all geological formations, cause deviations in an area's basic magnetic pattern. Underground geological features such as: upthrust dikes, sheer planes, faults, mineral deposits, caverns, caves, tombs, rivers and lakes, etc., and other anomalies, leave their characteristic and recognizable magnetic signatures.

In a like manner, any underground excavations, tunneling or shafts, create a deviation from the normal patterns prevalent in a given area. Such underground construction activity disturbs the local magnetic field in the area. This disturbance is caused by the material excavated or otherwise moved. The magnetometer can, by noting the strength of such deviations and disturbances, then determine the location, shape, size and depth of such buried features and anomalies.

Sophisticated computer programs can then analyze the information reported by this instrument and create statistical data, charts, plots and maps, which portray the underground features of an area in amazing detail. The magnetometer, in a sense, is similar to having a form of powerful x-ray vision that is capable of looking deep within the earth.

For three days starting on July 19, 1989, a portable total-field magnetometer was used by the Phoenix Project's scientific survey team to conduct a magnetic mapping of highly suspect areas of the K-2 site.

The presence of our survey teams aroused intense curiosity on the part of the U.S. Forest Service and their Rangers. The first day, several different Rangers questioned us intensely. They asked us who we were, what we were doing, how long we would be in

the area, where we came from, etc. We had prepared plausible answers to such questions in advance of being questioned. Our answers seemed to satisfy their initial curiosity. However, the second and third day of our presence on the site, brought the Rangers out in force. Hardly an hour would pass before a different Ranger or pair of Rangers would appear and start the questions all over again. They seemed determined to find out exactly who we were, why we were there, what we were doing, and why we were doing it, etc. Some of the Rangers stayed a while and became interested in the scientific equipment and its operation. To satisfy them, we let them assist us in taking some of the magnetometer readings. But, continuously, they tried to pump us for additional information. Each time we gave them the same answers and eventually they would leave. The on-going visits and questions eventually became a game that was amusing. However, we couldn't help but wonder what was driving their curiosity and why they continued the constant barrage of questions. See Exhibit 21.

This magnetic survey revealed the presence of a large metallic or metal constructed chamber of enormous size, thought to be the main alien installation or base, and other metal-lined chambers. In addition, various metalined tunnels and fused natural rock passages and tunnels, connecting various areas of the installation, and providing means to enter and exit the base were detected.

Subsequent computer analysis, of the data obtained, created a highly-detailed magnetic contour map of part of this installation (the bulk of the main installation lies under an area not surveyed on this occasion, but "linking" evidence indicates its direction and location) along with various tunnels, passages and entrances. Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 include this scientific information.

CONCLUSION (July 1989)

It is the opinion of the members of the Phoenix Project's investigators and scientific survey teams, based on their personal on-site observations and in-depth study of the Pilot Peak (K-2) site, the facts revealed, interviews with USFS Rangers, and scientific analysis, that a hidden, underground, major operational base of alien beings has been discovered. This investigation and survey were conducted by highly-trained and credible individuals who uncovered -- incredible facts. A complete magnetic survey of adjacent areas, several acres in extent, would reveal the true dimensions of this installation and additional valuable details, and is to be recommended. However, the financial cost of such an extensive survey is beyond the resources available to this group of investigators.

INFORMATION UPDATE

Date: August 16, 1989 From: Staff # 1 Subject: Activity Reports

concerning K-2

Comment from Staff # 1: Date: August 10, 1989, Time: 2215 PDT.

At 2215 PDT, I received a phone call from Staff # 2 who was stationed forty miles southwest of K-2. In an excited manner, he described sighting a large glowing object that had, only minutes before, passed very near his observation point. He supplied a few details. Knowing, from experience that the Phoenix Project phones are being monitored by various government intelligence agencies, I cut the conversation short and requested that he investigate the K-2 Site the next day and send me the complete details by courier. I received the following information from Staff # 2 on August 14, 1989.

* * *

Report # 1: By Staff # 2: Date: August 10, 1989, Time: 2212 PDT. Location: Approximately 40 miles southwest of K-2.

A brightly glowing object was observed from my location. It was approximately 30-40 feet in diameter, moving slowly from south to north at 500 feet above the tree-tops.

When first sighted, the object was approaching from the South and slowing down. When opposite my observation point, it stopped in mid-air and hovered. The object was glowing with a bright white light, as it approached. When it stopped and hovered, the color changed to a bright blue light.

It remained stopped for approximately 15 seconds, then it changed back to a bright white color, as it started moving slowly (10-15 mph) toward the North in the direction of K-2.

Observations: The object lit up the area, quite well, when it was glowing with the white light. No sound was produced by the object. Normal animal and insect sounds, in my area, were silenced. A light breeze, present before the object appeared, disappeared. Everything became very still, as the object was approaching and while it was hovering.

The Moon was still below the horizon. The sky was clear and dark, with stars clearly visible.

A "scanner" radio, I was monitoring, picked up severe noise 30 seconds before, during, and while the object moved away from my location.

I did not experience any electrical effects (tingling or prickly sensations) during the sighting.

The sighting lasted approximately 45 seconds. There was not enough time to get a camera and take pictures.

Within 10 minutes, after the object left the area, eight Air Force jet fighters (F-4s, judging from their sound and appearance) and moving very fast, went overhead traveling in the same direction the object had taken -owards the North. Four minutes later, the fighters returned, heading south. I presumed the object had been spotted by their radar. Their quick return would indicate they lost radar contact with the object.

* * *

Report # 3 By Staff # 2: Date: August 11, 1989, Time: 1500 PDT Location: K-2

Following the events of the night before, I made a quick trip to check out the K-2 area.

Observation: There was a "burned" or scorched area on the ground, 30 feet in diameter, at the LZ-1 (landing zone 1) apparently, where an object had landed. In the rush to get there, I forgot to take my camera. No pictures were obtained. After further checking out the area, I left. I decided to return the next day with a camera to get pictures of the burn marks.

* * *

Report # 4 By Staff # 2: Date: August 12, 1989, Time: 1300 PDT Location: K-2

Observation: Another quick trip to K-2 -- this time with the camera.

"This second trip -- brought a surprise. The burned round mark on the ground wasn't there (the one I had observed the day before. The 30 foot diameter burned area). It looks like "they" (the Greys) had removed everything that would show they had landed there. I did some digging, but there was nothing to show for it. They had replaced the ground (the burned area) with new soil, including the dead grass that covers the ground. They seem to know we are on to them, and are making it difficult for us to prove anything. From now on, the camera goes with me everywhere." See Exhibits 19 and 20.

Memo August 12, 1989 From Staff # 1 To: Staff # 2

Our contacts in the Air Force verify that on the night of August 10, 1989, at the time indicated in Report # 1 of that date, that eight F-4's, scrambled from Beale AFB, CA. They had a brief radar-lock, on a "bogie" in the area described. However, they lost the target, due to ground clutter when it suddenly descended into the mountainous terrain. They returned to the base when a further sweep of the area proved fruitless.

You're right, the AF had a blip on their radar, but lost it when the ship dropped into that 20-mile approach corridor between the valleys and the radar dead zone.

* * *

Comments by Staff # 1 Date: 8/15/89, Time: 1300 PDT

The events taking place, as described in the preceding reports, indicate on-going activity at the K-2 site. This activity, adds weight to the conclusions and evidence gathered by our Survey Team. Those findings were included in the "K-2, Special Report" Dated 7/28/89. These recent events taking place at K-2 validate our initial findings.

* * *

Comments by Staff # 1 Date: 8/29/89

Observations of night-time activity at K-2, over the past month, indicate that alien crafts are making supply runs at two week intervals. All of our attempts, on site, to photograph or video tape their arrival, unloading and departures have failed. The aliens seem to detect our presence even though we have made every effort to remain concealed. On two occasions, from our concealed location, we have observed one of their craft making a landing approach. The craft suddenly veers off, about two miles from the base, and quickly leaves the area. For both practical and economic reasons we cannot continue this surveillance. In our opinion, we have validated the existence of the base and the alien activity associated with this site.

* * * ADDENDUM:

6/23/92 ADDITIONAL CONCLUSION

Previously, we mentioned the extreme curiosity exhibited by U.S. Forest Rangers, and their barrage of questions while we conducted the scientific survey of K-2 in July of 1989. The reason for their conduct and questions became known in August of 1989 and during the course of subsequent investigations at K-2. Refer to Exhibit 21.

As a result of the public disclosure of the Alien Base revealed in this report, it will prove most interesting to observe what action is taken by any unit of the U.S. Government to deal with this situation. It is doubtful they will allow an Alien Base to operate right under their noses.

This would be an excellent occasion for the public, in large numbers, to camp out at the K-2 site, use their home video cameras to record what activity occurs and whether they are ordered to leave the area.

Also, without a doubt, it is not difficult to predict a scenario in which well-paid and learned government experts will proclaim that no alien base exists at K-2. In the interim, nobody would be surprised, would they (??), if the area was suddenly fenced off by a double perimeter chain-link fence and patrolled by security guards. The public may even be denied any access to the area. Now, what would that suggest to you?

It's something of a Catch-22 situation for the government. Any action taken to suppress the alien base will be revealed and noticed by the public, and any inction, hoping people will forget it, allows the aliens to continue their operations. It's a bad situation for the aliens, as well. All the publicity and people hanging around the area will disrupt their activities. Somehow, the term "poetic justice" seems correct in this situation. Perhaps you'll agree.

K-2 DATA EXHIBIT PACKAGE

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1: Quincy - La Porte Area Map.

Exhibit 2: Aeronautical Chart of K-2 Area detailing Military Operation Areas (MOA's) Boundaries, Radar coverage and approach corridors.

Exhibit 3: Expanded Topographic Map of K-2 Site.

Exhibit 4: Text - Detailed Magnetometer Data of K-2, Section 3.

Exhibit 5: K2Q3S3 (Quad 3, Section 3) Magnetic Field Stats indicating section line numbers, line stations and magnetometer readings.

Exhibits 6 A,B,C,D: K2Q3S3 Top View. Detailed Magnetic Profile Charts of Section 3 survey lines.

Exhibit 7: K2Q3S3 High-Resolution Magnetic Contour Map of Section 3, Quad 3, indicating major underground features.

Exhibit 7A: Text - Describing the hidden underground parts of the Alien base, in the area surveyed, and revealed by the Magnetometer Data.

Exhibit 8: Text - K2SCARP Magnetic Data (Section 3) Re-Survey of lines 30, 40, and 50, for expanded details of Item A, line 40.

Exhibit 9: K2SCARP Top View, Magnetic Profile of Lines 30, 40 and 50.

Exhibit 10: K2SCARP Magnetic Contour Map showing expanded features of Item A on Lines 30, 40, and 50.

Exhibit 11: Photo - of a section of the modern blackop road fronting the entrance to the K-2 Site.

Exhibit 12: Photo - K-2 Site entrance road and portion of Landing Zone 1 (LZ-1).

Exhibit 13: Photo - LZ-1 and the Alien Base.

Exhibit 14: Photo - South end of Alien Base and LZ-2.

Exhibit 15: Photo - The mysterious Dike and Pond.

Exhibit 16: Photo - The Rock Scarp detailed on Line 40 of the magnetometer data.

Exhibit 17: Photo - Medium view of the overall K-2 Site, landing areas and the Alien base.

Exhibit 18: Photo - Long View of K-2 showing the hidden approach corridors to the site.

Exhibit 19: Photo - Landing Zone 1 (LZ-1).

Exhibit 20: Photo - Landing Zone 1 (LZ-1) showing landing marks.

Exhibit 21: Text - Describing latest finding regarding K-2.

All of the exhibits listed in this report are contained in the printed K-2 Report available from the publisher. All printed versions of the Phoenix Project Special Reports contain the exhibits referenced in the reports text. Send a self-addressed, stamped envelope to Advent Publishing Company, P.O. Box 3748, Carson City, Nevada 89702, for a list of Phoenix Project Special Reports.

SPECIAL NOTE:

Very recently, the Phoenix Project conducted an undercover investigation of the U.S. Forest Service Headquarters at Quincy, California. That investigation has revealed startling new information. A Special "K-2 Supplemental Report," will be issued in the near future. It will reveal this new information and contain exhibits of the evidence obtained.

END OF FILE
PARANET FILENAME: K2RPT.REP

--
ParaNet(sm) Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet(sm).Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: rodb@slugo.corp.sgi.com (Rod Beckwith)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors

Subject: Re: Dulce Report - Conclusion
Message-ID: <1992Jul7.000018.24512@odin.corp.sgi.com>
Date: 7 Jul 92 00:00:18 GMT
References: <138997.2A566EBC@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Sender: news@odin.corp.sgi.com (Net News)
Organization: Silicon Graphics, Inc.
Lines: 21
Nntp-Posting-Host: slugo.corp.sgi.com

Michael,

Didn't the Bill Moore get tangled up in this situation? Didn't this whole episode cause Paul Bennewitz to have a nervous breakdown? Do you have any further documentation on this aspect of the investigation? I for one would like to find out where some (if not all) of the disinformation came from.Are there any other investigations "The Phoenix Project is working on ?

Thanks,

Rod

--
Rod Beckwith |$$
Datacom I/S |"The great obstacle of progress is not ignorance,
rodb@corp.sgi.com|but the illusion of knowledge."
|$$

From mcgrew@dropout.rutgers.edu (Charles Mcgrew) Mon Jul 6 21:12:06 1992
Path: igor.rutgers.edu!dropout.rutgers.edu!mcgrew
From: mcgrew@dropout.rutgers.edu (Charles Mcgrew)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: Dulce Report - Conclusion
Message-ID: <Jul.6.21.12.05.1992.3983@dropout.rutgers.edu>
Date: 7 Jul 92 01:12:06 GMT
References: <138997.2A566EBC@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
<1992Jul7.000018.24512@odin.corp.sgi.com>
Organization: Rutgers Univ., New Brunswick, N.J.
Lines: 12

> rodb@slugo.corp.sgi.com (Rod Beckwith) writes:
> Didn't the Bill Moore get tangled up in this situation? Didn't this whole episode cause Paul Bennewitz to have a nervous breakdown?

... at least one version of this tale appears in Howard Blum's "Out There" (Simon and Schuster, NY, 1990). I too would be interested in comments about how correct it is. The way its written, Blum talked to Moore himself...

Charles

From: vjmurphy@carina.unm.edu (Phred T. Platypus)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: k-2 phoenix report (Phoenix Foundation Info!)
Message-ID: <xh6l4cg@lynx.unm.edu>
Date: 7 Jul 92 06:13:13 GMT
References: <1992Jul7.042327.28387@msuinfo.cl.msu.edu>
Organization: University of New Mexico, Albuquerque
Lines: 16

In article <1992Jul7.042327.28387@msuinfo.cl.msu.edu>
wilbur@starfish.cps.msu.edu (Brick Wilbur) writes:
>Remember, this k-2 report could also be disinformation.
>Maybe there really is a base at DULCE, and now *they* are having us believe
that
>this organization called the Phoenix Foundation (or whatever) has uncovered the
>real base in California.
>Anyone know about this Phoenix place? OR the validity of either reports?
>

   All I know is that the Phoenix Foundation has a resourceful agent
by the name of MacGyver. And if he's on their side...I'd be careful.

--
"You ask me if sex is one of the most __\   _/_/   Phred Platypus
 important things in life? Absolutely. ___\ _/_/_/ Grammarian of Vengeance
 But the lack of it is even likelier   ____/_/_/ [vjmurphy@carina.unm.edu]
 to drive you nuts." -- Harlan Ellison   __\/   Vincent J. Murphy

From: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: Dulce Report - Conclusion
Message-ID: <139085.2A5B8080@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 8 Jul 92 23:19:02 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 22

 > Dear Michael:
 > I read all of the information that you posted and appreciated your work
 > and the work of the The Phoenix Report but I don't believe it. It
 > doesn't
 > add up to me and I won't explain why. Your may be right and I'm wrong.
 > I hope I am wrong in this case.
 > That's all folks.
 > John Winston.

First, that was not my work, nor did I have anything to do with it. Someone
uploaded that material to ParaNet and I simply reposted it with permission. I
have found numerous problems with it myself and, although I do not necessarily
believe that something is happening at Dulce, I think that the Phoenix
material is just more disinformation.

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: Dulce Report - Conclusion
Message-ID: <139086.2A5B8082@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 8 Jul 92 23:25:03 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 26

> Didn't the Bill Moore get tangled up in this situation? Didn't this
> whole
> episode cause Paul Bennewitz to have a nervous breakdown? Do you have
> any
> further documentation on this aspect of the investigation? I for one
> would
> like to find out where some (if not all) of the disinformation came
> from.Are there any other investigations "The Phoenix Project is working
> on
> ?

Yes. Paul Bennewitz did have some psychological problems as a result of the disinformation that Moore and his friends were feeding Paul, but the Dulce thing has never been proven, although that was the subject of the information that they were giving to Paul as part of the disinformation. In that event, I would be suspicious as to the validity of anything being located at Dulce. It is true that there have been UFO sightings at Dulce and there have been cattle mutilations, but that doesn't prove the existence of an underground base.

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Robert_Sutton@kcbbs.gen.nz (Robert Sutton)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: K2 Report - Part 3
Message-ID: <39534.190928216@kcbbs.gen.nz>
Date: 9 Jul 92 10:58:54 GMT
References: <q!7l=3a.sheaffer@netcom.com>
Organization: Kappa Crucis Unix BBS, Auckland, New Zealand
Lines: 25

sheaffer@netcom.com (Robert Sheaffer) 07 Jul 92 22:35:26 types--

>So to jump to the conclusion that space aliens are involved just because
>you heard something strange on the shortwave is well perhaps a tad
>premature

That's about the 1st thing I wondered when I read the post.no more was
seen about any characteristics of the transmissions.No frequencies given
or if others had heard & or were told of them or indeed if they were
directly related to Pilot peak.
As for the aptly named Pilot Peak who has climbed the area.What about
historic records & radio monitoring?.

On radio monitoring those number stations are an interesting rebuttal
to the 3 man leak posit.
Or does Sheaffer have the good oil on who is responsible for these efforts
& where.

BTW-WHo is Larry Eckert?.

**********8
ARE ETOI SPACESHIPS SHY
OR ARE THEY JUST WAITING
TO SEAL THE ROYALTY DEAL?
****
**********8

From: jcitro3@odin.unomaha.edu (Joe Citro III)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: K2 Report/washed Up
Message-ID: <1992Jul9.013224.26721@news.unomaha.edu>
Date: 9 Jul 92 01:32:24 GMT
References: <1992Jul7.182314.1@acad3.alaska.edu>
Sender: news@news.unomaha.edu (UNO Network News Server)
Organization: University of Nebraska at Omaha
Lines: 9

I've never heard of the Pheonix Foundation (Mcgyver excluded). I do know
that F-4's were never stationed at Beal (Spell) AFB. The F-4's could
possibly have came from Castle AFB which is in central California. I question
the credibility of the supposed Air Force personel who verified that the
F-4's came from Beal. However, the report was a good read :)

Joseph A. Citro III at UNO
jcitro3@odin.unomaha.edu
(WHO WILL PROBABLY NEVER COME UP WITH A SIGNATURE)

From: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: K2 And The Phoenix Project
Message-ID: <139087.2A5B8085@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 8 Jul 92 23:36:01 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 110

 * Forwarded from "Ask UFO Magazine"
 * Originally from David Brune
 * Originally dated 07-06-92 16:11

All,

As section leader of a UFO area on CompuServe, when we read the K2 report from the Phoenix project we did not quite know how to take it. Was this another attempt at disinformation, a way to make a few bucks by getting you to buy their printed paper, or was it for real. So we decided to find out.

A few members of my section got together and over this 4th of July holiday weekend decided to check things out. What they found you may find interesting. This was in no way a complete check of the Phoenix groups claims, but interesting nonetheless. We are planning further expeditions to the K2 area in the near future and I will keep all advises as to what we find, since it is of importance to us all.

If you have any questions of comments about this report, please forward them to me, and if you have CompuServe access may I suggest checking out the New Age Forum and the UFOlogy/Contacts section.

David Brune [70671,2063 CIS]

****

David,

Well, I made it back from Pilot Mountain! What a beautiful area. It is remote and would be a good spot to try and conceal something but I saw no evidence to support the claims of the Phoenix report. Keep in mind that I was there for only a short time (1 1/2 days) and I did not have the actual K2 report with maps and descriptions of where EXACTLY the LZs, base, and entrances were suppose to be. When I do get the report I will more than likely make another trip out there just to satisfy my curiousity and keep this thing from growing into something it probably isn't.

I arrived in Quincy on Saturday at about 5:30am. I then proceeded into the Plumas Nat'l Forest via the Quincy-La Porte Road. For the next five hours I just drove around the dirt roads checking things out. There is road construction in progress complete with earth movers, bulldozers, graters, and dump trucks. It was a holiday so no constuction was going ons. The existing dirt roads were eroded, and narrow in places so, IMHO, the construction was not suspect. This area skirts the Feather River and some of its off-shoots which is popular amoung trout fisherman. That may be one reason the roads are being repaired. Also, it appeared that logging was prevelant in the area. Since so much logging in various areas thoughout CA has been restricted I can see logging interests putting in $$ to access areas where they are allowed to harvest, which is what I think is happening.

The roads are poorly marked and thus confusing to get around on. I looked for most of that morning around the Pilot Peak area for the access road leading up to the lookout station but could not find it. It is probably a four wheel

drive type road and thus not evident to the passer by, which I'm sure I did several times. The tower placement on top of the mtn. is perfect. It's up above everything and looks to offer a good view. I decided to hike up there.

The Pacific Crest Trail passes through the area and skirts along the side of Pilot Peak. The trail head is well marked at PP's base so I took it. The trail was easy to follow and I took it up to the ridge where I cut off to get to the lookout station. The last few hundred yards were VERY steep and strewn with loose flagstone and gravel. On my approach I could hear voices (kids) and then see some young people in the tower. They had left by the time I got to the tower. It took three hours to get there and the view alone was worth it. The station is abandoned and from markings in the cement appears to have been constucted in 1976. It is very well built and offers an EXCELLENT view, 360 degrees, of the surrounding area. I can't imagine a better way to watch the area then in that tower. Once up there I could see a dirt road coming up the mtn and stopping about 100 yards down slope. The last part up to the tower is 'feet only.' I seriously considered going down the road to find where it cuts off from the main road below but daylight was running out. I poked around a bit more, took some pictures and headed back down to the trail I had come up on.

When I got back I ate and by then it was dark so I drove out to a vista point and watched the area for whatever. During the night I drove to different points of view...and saw nothing unusual. The next morning I slept a bit then hiked around PP area a bit more.

>From my cursory examination of the area I could not support the fantastic claims made by The Phoenix Group. However, I did not have a magnetometer, geiger counter, or any other sofisticated equipment. All I could do was observe the area through an average persons eyes. I did that and saw nothing except a beautifully remote area.

The one odd thing I did see was at the Ranger Station in Quincy. As I entered the parking lot there's a sign showing visitors where to park, employees parking, and one that reads "F.S. Heliport NASA." I drove ....

over to the entrance to the "heliport" which had an open gate and a sign that read--"No Trespassing $500 and/or 6 months Air Operations Restricted Area." I could not see beyond the gate because of the forest and slope of the hill. I wasn't sure if they enforced this notice but didn't want to find out right then. Why would NASA have a heliport way out there? That I don't know and couldn't find anyone to ask.

I've been too long winded already...I will get the K2 Report, find specific locations to search and look things over again. Any suggestions that would enhance my efforts would be appreciated.

--Jason

END-OF-REPORT

=-=-=-=-=

--
Michael Corbin - via ParaNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: davoli@natinst.com (Russell J. Davoli)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: K2 Report - Part 3
Message-ID: <1992Jul9.184338.3415@natinst.com>
Date: 9 Jul 92 18:43:38 GMT
References: <139011.2A568762@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Sender: news@natinst.com
Organization: National Instruments Corp.
Lines: 40
Nntp-Posting-Host: red.natinst.com

In article <139011.2A568762@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>,
ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (sm) writes:
>
> * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
> * Originally from ParaNet(sm) Information Service
> * Originally dated 07-04-92 22:09
>
> <<..Continued from previous message>>
>
> ...
>
> The site is isolated and cut-off from general access, except
> from the air or the use of snowmobiles, or ski's, 6 months of the
> year because of heavy snow conditions. A well constructed and
> permanent "fire" lookout tower on top of Pilot Peak (K-2) was
> suddenly abandoned several years ago. Discussions with local U.S.
> Forest Rangers, indicate there are no intentions of ever manning
> or re-activating the fire lookout station. Budgetary problems
> were not a factor. The explanation given, was that the lookout
> was obsolete. Fire spotting, these days, according to the
> rangers, is better accomplished by patrolling aircraft.
>
> This explanation, (in 1989) seems suspect and insubstantial, at
> best. Evidence to support these claims are provided by the fact
> that other fire lookout stations, in nearby areas, are
> operational and manned throughout the peak summer fire season.
> Why is the K-2 fire lookout an exception?

I've read or heard a couple of pieces in the mainstream news lately concerning the fire lookout towers and how these are now being rented out to people who want to vacation in a remote spot with a great view. According to the reports, many of these towers were "suddenly abandoned" a few years ago for the reason stated above. Plus, the Forest Service or whoever owns the towers can make a few bucks to help their budgets out this way. The official explanation doesn't seem the least bit suspect to me, unless you're convinced that this bit of news is a further attempt at spreading misinformation.

Maybe you folks who really want to get to bottom of things can rent that tower out and make your own observations. Unless you make it known that you're going there just to watch for the aliens, no one is going to know any better,

right?

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (sm)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Rating on Dulce Report and K2 Report
Message-ID: <139161.2A610FC9@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 13 Jul 92 05:02:02 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 49

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from ParaNet(sm) Information Service
 * Originally dated 07-12-92 22:01

Recently we published two reports generated by the Phoenix Project, a group in Carson City, Nevada. These two documents allege that members of this group investigated reports of underground alien bases in Dulce, New Mexico, and an underground alien base located in the Plumas National Forest in Northern California.

Because of the following findings/reasons, ParaNet has assigned a high level of probability that the material contained in the documents is disinformation and is inaccurate.

The reasons are as follows:

1) The Phoenix Project is unknown to the general UFO research community. No where in any of the materials are the principals of the organization identified. As is the case with any materials where substantive findings are reported, it demonstrates a strong lack of credibility when the names and addresses of the investigators are not provided.

2) Due to an investigation that has been ongoing, we have found that the material on Dulce is inaccurate. It is interesting that the Dulce report denies that anything exists at Dulce. Although we have found no information to the contrary, we feel that the report from the Phoenix Project is inaccurate as to location of buildings and other factors. There are things down there which are unusual.

3) The K2 material is too ambiguous and does not provide enough reliable data bits to launch an investigation into the claims. It appears that although the report is written with some literary license, the findings are presented in a very unscientific fashion.

ParaNet will provide a complete report of its investigation into the various other claims contained in the reports as soon as the information is available. We have written to the Phoenix Project requesting further information.

In the meantime, we urge caution in the use of this material.

Michael Corbin
Director

ParaNet Information Services

--
ParaNet(sm) Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet(sm).Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (sm)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: STRONG WORD OF CAUTION!
Message-ID: <139389.2A739F99@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 27 Jul 92 06:56:03 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 25

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from ParaNet(sm) Information Service
 * Originally dated 07-26-92 23:56

Over the last few days we have been posting information supplied by the Phoenix Project of Carson City, Nevada. This information has been strongly disclaimed by ParaNet pending the results of our investigation to determine the validity of the Phoenix Project and its officers.

While the investigation is still ongoing, we have found some highly disturbing things relating to credibility of the group. This information will be reported as soon as the investigation is completed. This should be in the next few days.

Until this investigation is complete and the findings published, ParaNet wishes to urge everyone not to send any money to the group.

Michael Corbin
Director

--
ParaNet(sm) Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet(sm).Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (sm)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Report on Phoenix Project
Message-ID: <139577.2A817124@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 6 Aug 92 18:16:01 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 177

A few weeks ago, ParaNet received on-line copies of several documents which purported to come from a previously unknown organization called "The Phoenix Project". The project is

described in the documents as a "private, civilian, research organization" which was "formed in 1952 to investigate and correlate information" concerning UFOs and ETs. According to the information contained in the documents, for a small price one can receive printed copies of the project's reports, complete with maps, magnetometer readings, and a host of other supporting charts and diagrams which serve to make the whole endeavor look scientific and legitimate.

The documents we received were formulated as investigative reports on two of the hottest and most controversial issues within the UFO research community: the underground alien bases which are said to exist at numerous locations around the United States, and the cluster of alleged UFO-related projects sometimes referred to collectively as "Operation Majestic Twelve". Specifically, the material consisted of three separate documents:

(1) "The Ultimate Secret", originally dated 4 August 1989 and revised most recently 5 May 1992, purporting to lay bare the inner workings of the government's secret UFO projects, including the recovery of alien technology and bodies from crashed UFOs, the exploitation of this technology by a number of code-named government projects, and the involvement of NASA and the SDI program in preparing for conflict with alien invaders;

(2) "The Dulce Report", dated 27 May 1992, describing an on-site investigation of the Dulce and Archuleta Mesa area in New Mexico, which purportedly proved beyond any doubt that there is nothing there of any interest to UFO researchers despite persistent reports to the contrary; and

(3) "The K-2 Report", originally dated 28 July 1989 and revised 27 June 1992, which purports to document the discovery of a genuine "secret alien base" in the Pilot Peak area of Plumas County, California.

ParaNet quickly reviewed this material and posted it in its entirety for our subscribers, pursuant to the permissions attached to the material by the publisher. We also posted a preliminary evaluation which warned that the material might contain inaccuracies or deliberate disinformation. This evaluation was based on a number of factors:

(1) much of the information in "The Ultimate Secret" about Operation Majestic Twelve and associated projects is clearly related to, and probably derived from, earlier material which has been dismissed as worthless by almost all reputable UFO researchers;

(2) much of the information in "The Dulce Report" about Dulce and the Archuleta Mesa contradicts information already provided to ParaNet by other capable investigators;

(3) some of the information in "The K-2 Report" is intrinsically

implausible (although, admittedly, not impossible), such as the claim that a seasoned military intelligence operative "forgot" his camera when rushing to document a UFO landing site, or that by the next day that same landing site had been re-sodded by the aliens to obliterate all the evidence.

ParaNet received the three documents as uploads from a Mr. Jack L. Mathias of Carson City, Nevada, who represented himself as the sole public spokesman for the Project. But the reports themselves name neither the authors of the documents nor the principals of the Phoenix Project. Instead, they cryptically state that they are the work of "former military personnel who have all been associated with intelligence activities, and have knowledge of covert government operations concerning UFO's". Given the sorry history of anonymous documents and "former" government agents in the UFO community, ParaNet felt it necessary to start its own investigation in an effort to determine just who was behind the Phoenix Project and what their motives might be.

First we sent a letter to the post office box listed in the documents, asking for further information about the group; but the letter was not answered. We corresponded by electronic mail with Mr. Mathias, who represents himself as an agent for the group, but he refused to provide any of the information we requested. So much for the direct approach.

Each document formally states that the Phoenix Project logo is a registered trademark (presumably in the state of Nevada, since that is where their mailing address is located), so we tried a query to the Nevada trademark office in Carson City. That office informed us that the state of Nevada has no record of any current trademarks under the "Phoenix Project" name. They did say that articles of incorporation were filed under the name of the Phoenix Project on 25 August 1988 by a Mr. Thomas Naylor of Las Vegas, Nevada. However, the corporation failed to file the names and addresses of its officers by 1 July 1989 as required by Nevada law, as a result of which the incorporation was revoked by the Nevada Secretary of State. And so we reached another apparent dead end, except for one bit of trivia uncovered by a ParaNet investigator in Las Vegas: An attorney named Thomas C. Naylor had recently moved into an office building at 2810 West Charleston in Las Vegas.

Next we contacted the U.S. Postal Service in Carson City, Nevada to determine the box holder for the Phoenix Project's publisher, Advent Publishing Company. We were told that the box was registered to a Richard T. Miller, whose address was given as a mobile-home park in Carson City. Following this, we contacted the Clerk and Recorder's office in Carson City, and determined that a Richard Miller is listed with them as the legal owner of Advent Publishing. However, the telephone number they gave us for Mr. Miller has been disconnected, and directory assistance was unable to provide a new one. Another brick wall.

To this point, all attempts to verify the Phoenix Project's legitimacy using conventional methods had proved futile, so we decided to try a different approach. The Phoenix Project explicitly and emphatically disclaims affiliation with any other groups using the name "Phoenix". And just to make sure everyone gets the message, each document states at the beginning that "[i]n particular, there is no affiliation with a publisher known as America West, any of its publications, or the individuals known as George and Desiree Green". Unfortunately, ParaNet has uncovered evidence that these disclaimers may be intended to conceal rather than to illuminate.

America West, it turns out, is the publisher of a magazine called the Phoenix Liberator, which carries large quantities of channelled material alleged to originate with an entity from the Pleiades known as "Hatonn". The Phoenix Liberator has come under fire recently from some in the UFO community as anti-Semitic and neo-fascist. (See, for example, "Hatonn's World: A Neo-Nazi ET?" by Don Ecker in the July/August 1992 issue of UFO Magazine.) But "Hatonn" and his defenders vehemently deny the charge, and the whole affair has been widely and rather nastily trumpeted both on the net and in print.

It seems that until recently America West Publishers and the Phoenix Liberator were operated out of Tehachapi, California. But a few days ago one of ParaNet's subscribers uploaded a response from "Hatonn" to Don Ecker, which he said he had pulled down from the Phoenix Liberator BBS; and with it he posted a new address for the Liberator. That address was all too familiar: 2810 West Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada--the same building, it seems, where an attorney named Thomas Naylor also has his new office.

And then another strange coincidence: A posting coming across the Internet stated that before "Hatonn" channelled through his current host, he used to convey his messages through a Mr. Richard Miller, who started an organization which was known as the Solar Cross and which was apparently a forerunner of the Phoenix Liberator. Is this the same Richard Miller who owns the Phoenix Project's publisher, Advent Publishing Company?

We do not yet know if the Thomas Naylor who tried to incorporate the Phoenix Project is the same Thomas Naylor who apparently shares 2810 West Charleston with the new offices of the Phoenix Liberator. We do not yet know if the Richard Miller who owns Advent Publishing is the same Richard Miller who reportedly once channelled "Hatonn". In short, we do not yet know if the Phoenix Project is truly an independent organization, or merely another incarnation of America West Publishers and the Phoenix Liberator. But the coincidences are certainly striking and suggestive. And in light of this we again urge extreme caution in dealing with the Phoenix Project material, until such time as a definitive assessment of the Project's motives and reliability can be made.

ParaNet will keep you advised of our findings as they become available. If you have any information about the Phoenix Project, especially regarding its possible relationship with the Phoenix Liberator or America West Publishers, please send it to Michael Corbin by Internet mail to mcorbin@paranet.org; by Fidonet to 1:104/422; by U.S. Mail to P.O. Box 172, Wheat Ridge, CO 80034-0172; or by phone at 303-431-8796.

--
ParaNet(sm) Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet(sm).Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: gvb@TEFS1.acd.com (Gregg Brown)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Re: K-2 Radio Transmission
Message-ID: <1992Aug7.141449.25127@acd4.acd.com>
Date: 7 Aug 92 14:14:49 GMT
References: <1992Aug5.162256.14549@acd4.acd.com>
<1992Aug5.172556.14834@acd4.acd.com> <1992Aug6.155726.2040@ryn.mro4.dec.com>
Sender: news@acd4.acd.com (USENET News System)
Organization: Applied Computing Devices, Inc., Terre Haute IN
Lines: 45

In article <1992Aug6.155726.2040@ryn.mro4.dec.com> randolph@est.enet.dec.com (Tom Randolph) writes:
>
>In article <1992Aug5.172556.14834@acd4.acd.com>, gvb@TEFS1.acd.com (Gregg Brown) writes...
>>I have just received the K-2 Radio Transmission recording. Its on a 60
>>Gregg.
>
>How about a summary? Anything worth hearing?
>
>-Tom R.randolph@est.enet.dec.com

(click,click,click,click,click,click,thum-thump) at 1 cps for approx 12 min.

click/thump fades to:

(wwwwwooooooooowwwwwwwwwooooooooowwwwwwww) at about 1 cps

with a

...ooooooowwwwwwtinklewwwwwooooo... about every 6 seconds (varies)

for about 30 minutes. wowowowow starts getting faster and faster (up to about 5-10 wowow's a second) At that point the inherent tone of the wowow's drops from about 500 hertz to 300 herts. The wowow's inherent pitch pick right back up but volume is somewhat subdued. You then start (only way I could describe) hearing "whalelike" sounds in the backgound. The wowowow's are still present and start slowing back down to their original 1 cps speed. Relative predominence of wowow and whale's song alternate for short period

of time. Tinkles are still present and have never faded but vary in their frequency of appearance. This sequence goes for about 12 min. and then at the last part of side two there is a definit "edit splice". What is put on the tape to the end is the wowowowow at 1 cps with the oooowwwwwtinklewwwwoooo's in it. This goes on for another 4 or 5 minutes.

What is it you ask? Well, I find it very enjoyable to listen to. Makes me very relaxed. Helps my tension headaches. From what I know of radio, the click/thum-thump stuff could be over the horizon radar or an ionospheric sounder. I wish they had flipped on the BFO during reception for a little while, I could have determined either. The reciever was kept on AM the entire time. Its kinda eerie to listen too. Especially the later parts.

Anyone else heard this tape? Help me be more accurate in my descriptions if you can. Its hard to explain wowow's and clickthum-thump's in a message.

Gregg.

From: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Observations at Pilot Peak
Message-ID: <139924.2A989320@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 24 Aug 92 07:44:02 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 158

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from Michael Corbin
 * Originally dated 24 Aug 92   0:43:51

Our special thanks to John Pickens, who conducted an independent investigation of the Phoenix Project's claims of an underground alien base in Northern California. As you will read, John's report clearly shows that the K2 report is definitely questionable.

 * Forwarded from John Pickens, 205/300
 * Originally to Michael Corbin
 * Originally dated 23 Aug 92 21:46:55

* Original: TO ... Michael Corbin of 1:104/428
* ReDirected Using ReDirect Version 1.00 (C)1989 David Nugent

REFLECTIONS ON THE K2 REPORT & OBSERVATIONS AT PILOT PEAK
~~~~~~

Having read the Phoenix Project's K2 report and having visited the Pilot Peak area I offer some of my impressions and observations.

Animal Sounds: The K2 Report suggests there is curious lack of animal sounds and indicated there is an unnatural quiet in the area.
~~~~~~

Having spent 2 nights in the immediate area in question I can say there was nothing I observed, either by night or by day, to support this contention. The level of animal sounds was consistent with what I have heard in other forested areas.

Animal Life: The K2 report makes much of the fact that there was an absence of animal life in the area (this additionally supported by the claim of lack of animal sounds). In particular the report comments on the apparent absence of squirrels, chipmunks, rodents, owls and birds flying over the area.

On my first night at the Pilot Peak area I spent the night at a spot over looking the location of the "landing zones." I had an unobstructed view of the area. I was there while the Moon was still below the eastern horizon and I used a high-powered spotlight to illuminate the area. I saw no less than two (possibly three) deer - one of which was very close by, if not on, one of the "landing zones." Throughout the night, owls could be heard hooting. During the day birds could be seen flitting about the meadow that contained the "landing zones" and both squirrels and chipmunks were easily seen. At the very top of Pilot Peak there is a lookout station which I explored. There I found two exhausted boxes of D-Con rodent killer and rodent droppings in the first and second floors of the station - clearly evidence of rodents. Another variety of rodent - bats - were seen in no small numbers around the Pilot Peak area.

I will comment on the K2 report itself concerning their claim of absence of animal life and animal sounds. I found it a curious contradiction that one of their observers (and I do not recall how they identified him/her, it was perhaps Contact #2, but it was the one who forgot their camera and had to come back the next day to make photographs) indicated that there was a sighting of a UFO and once it came into view all the animal noises ceased. I have to ask - if there was a curious and noteworthy lack of animal sounds how could they cease?

Landing Zones: Having seen the purported "landing zones" personally, and in some cases having walked over them, I have to say there seems to be a more prosaic explanation. There was an abundance of deer tracks throughout the area. It is not unreasonable to assume these are places where deer have rested. I found it odd that in the midst of the flattened grass there was, in some instances, a variety of tall weed that was still standing, unbent, in the area of flattened grass. In addition, the "landing zones" exhibited none of the swirling patterns associated with UFO "nests" or the more recent crop circles. Very low strangeness index and certainly subject to more "Earthly" explanation than landed craft. I also found other, smaller, areas of flattened grass which looked exactly like the purported "landing zones" but which could clearly be discounted as such.

There are two other factors which weigh heavily against these areas being "landing zones" which I will address next.

Camping Area: Far from being the desolate area one might imagine from reading the K2 report there is a camping area immediately adjacent to the "landing zones." To the southwest, and just behind a moderately dense stand of trees, this camping area did not remain unoccupied during the time of my visit. On the first night there were three carloads of people camping and on the second night there was one family there. The area of the "landing zones" is easily seen from this camping area and access to the "landing zones" from the camping area is immediate. It is not the sort of area one would choose if one wanted any sort of privacy. In addition, the area of the "landing zones" is clearly seen from the road which passes nearby and the view from the road is unobstructed, save for two small trees, for over a quarter mile of travel.

The Road: The report mentions a road which passes the K2 site. This is not the unused and long-forgotten road one would envision from reading the K2 report. Quite the contrary. During the day logging trucks, pick-up trucks, residents of the La Porte & Quincy areas and campers travel this road. While it will never resemble rush hour, I was somewhat surprised at the number of vehicles traveling that road as I thought we were well off the beaten track.

At night the traffic is much less active. The first night there was no traffic from 9 PM until 4:15 AM the next morning. The second night there was traffic on and off throughout the night. Again, the meadow with the "landing zones" is clearly and unmistakably visible from the road.

Forest Service: The K2 report alludes to the Forest Service personnel being mind-controlled minions of the Greys and says they were questioned about their reasons for being there. The report paints a picture of the Forest Service watching their every move and questioning their every action. I found quite the opposite to be the case. Not once did the Forest Service exhibit the slightest interest in what I was doing - even when I passed a Forest Service truck on the mine road (a dirt road that leads right to the base of the summit of Pilot Peak). The only reaction I received from the Forest Service was a smile and a wave as they drove past in their trucks.

My observations cover a brief period of time - from the evening of Wednesday, August 19 to the morning of Friday, August 21. My comments and observations can be verified and confirmed by Mr. Ed Stuart who accompanied me to Pilot Peak.

As Ed has asked, "If the facts we CAN verify are false then are the

facts we CAN'T verify false?" To which I must reply, not necessarily, but they are certainly called into question.

Other lines of inquiry come to mind. IS the corridor from the west to Pilot Peak actually RADAR blind as put forth by the Phoenix Project K2 report? I'm sure any ATC person from the area can answer that easily enough.

I also think a discussion with Forest Service personnel is in order. Are they aware that some of their members are being presented as unwitting allies of the Greys? Did they have occasion to question the activities of a group of people investigating the Pilot Peak area? Has there ever been a female member of their team, and if so, who was she? And if so, what does she think of the seduction for information scenario as described by the K2 report?

I think I'm ready to go ask some questions.

--
Michael Corbin - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (ParaNet Information Service)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Richard T. Miller/Phoenix Project
Message-ID: <139862.2A9405AA@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 20 Aug 92 20:24:06 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 104

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from ParaNet Information Service
 * Originally dated 08-20-92 13:12

Below is a response that came through Jack Mathias, allegedly written by Richard T. Miller, explaining his relationship to George Green's Phoenix Liberator and the Phoenix Project. Please note that this Richard Miller is the Richard Miller who was associated with George Green, and *may* still be, however according to his admission, he is no longer affilated with Green.

---
* Original: TO ... Michael Corbin of 1:104/422
* ReDirected Using ReDirect Version 1.00 (C)1989 David Nugent

>From scicom!ncar!compuserve.com!72730.3636
From: 72730.3636@CompuServe.COM (Jack L Mathias)
To: ">INTERNET:Michael.Corbin@f428.n104.z1.fidonet.org" <Michael.Corbin@f428.n104.z1.fidonet.org>
Date: 12 Aug 92 13:51:01 EDT

August 12, 1992

To: Mr. Michael Corbin
ParaNet Information Service

From: Advent Publishing Company and
Richard T. Miller

A message from you, made public on many BBS's and pertaining mainly to the Phoenix Project, was brought to my attention. In that message you mention my name, the name of my company, and try to create an impression that I am involved in some type of activity and have relationships with others that is questionable.

You state in your message: "based on striking and suggestive circumstances," that there is a possible connection between myself, Advent Publishing Company, and another publisher - known as America West and one of their publications "The Phoenix Liberator." You fail to clearly label your statements, or your presumptions, as "pure" speculation. I find your technique of using such speculation, and the end-result of that usage - guilt by implication - very offensive.

The statement I refer to is - whether I am, or my company is, another incarnation of America West and their people. The answer is an emphatic - no.

Let me set the record straight. I am a semi-retired electronic and radio and television broadcast engineer. I am also the sole owner of Advent Publishing Company. This Company is registered as a sole proprietorship, in Carson County, State of Nevada. I operate this business to occupy my spare time and to supplement my retirement income. Neither myself, or my Company has any connection with America West Publishing, their publication the "Phoenix Liberator," or any of their numerous publications. I do not know them, and I have never had any dealings with them.

It should be perfectly clear and very obvious from what I've stated, that I find the activities of America West and the content of the "Phoenix Liberator" thoroughly disgusting. And that, Mr. Corbin, is the overwhelming reason for my use of a strong disclaimer, disavowing any relationship or affiliation with the above named organization, its publications, and their known principals.

You also raise the question as to whether I am the same Richard Miller who, many years ago, communicated with an individual known as Hatonn and started an organization known as the Solar Cross.

My answer may startle you. Yes I am, and yes I did. However, I have not been active in such activities for many years. I am answering this question only because of your attempt to make an onerous association between myself and America West, and the

"Phoenix Liberator." An association that doesn't exist.

I can state, however, without reservation, that the "Phoenix Liberator" is fraudulently using the name Hatonn and claiming he is the source of their information. They have used the name of a respected Being as a "drawing card" to entice the innocent and lend a degree of credibility to the rubbish they publish.

I am not the only one who might feel this way. In closing let me quote a paragraph in a message sent to Jack Mathias by Don Allen, regarding America West and the "Phoenix Liberator," on Fido_UFO:

"Jack - Received your message and here is something to mull over. Just remember that people who claim to channel "Higher Entities" should be judged not only on their words, but also by their actions and ethics. I have read a considerable amount of "Hatonn's" material and it does not leave me feeling edified, uplifted or enlightened. It is very condemnatory. It is, I submit, not of the Light. These aren't the words of a "Christed being"...they are Cooperish rantings from quick buck con artists and most likely the "entity" that Dharma" is "channelling" (If "Hatonn" exists at all) is most definitely some lower/dark astral being. By their fruits ye shall know them."

RTM

--
ParaNet Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (ParaNet Information Service)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Our Response to Phoenix Project
Message-ID: <139863.2A9405AD@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 20 Aug 92 20:25:07 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 159

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from ParaNet Information Service
 * Originally dated 08-20-92 13:23

On 6 August 1992, ParaNet Information Service posted a preliminary statement on our investigation into an organization known as the Phoenix Project, which had recently released "investigative reports" entitled "The Dulce Report", "The K-2 Report", and "The Ultimate Secret". In our statement we pointed out some apparent problems with all three Phoenix Project reports, as well as some suspicious aspects of the Project's organization itself. In our conclusion, we issued a

warning not to take the Phoenix Project reports at face value, pending further investigation.

On 10 August 1992, Jack Mathias of the Phoenix Project responded in a long, rambling message posted to a number of bulletin boards and news groups. Unfortunately, rather than dealing with the substantive issues raised in our previous postings regarding the project and its publications, Mr. Mathias's statement consisted mostly of ad-hominem attacks impugning the motives, integrity, and competence of ParaNet's officers and investigators. Here is some typical language excerpted from the Phoenix Project statement:

" ... you blew it ..."
" ... a snap judgement without examining the evidence ..."
" ... your spontaneous and instant negative reaction ..."
" ... your attitude problem ..."
" ... you abused your position of trust and responsibility ..."
" ... inexcusable ... a new record for prejudice ..."
" ... you've just won the Golden Fleece Award ..."
" ... outstanding ineptness ..."
" ... amateur sleuthing ..."
" ... self-centered ..."
" ... lacking any real expertise ..."
" ... seated upon your starry throne ..."
" ... elected yourself to be the final arbiter of the truth ..."
" ... Judge, Jury, and Executioner ..."
" ... Kangaroo Court Proceeding of your own fashioning ..."
" ... arm-chair expertise ..."
" ... laughable ..."

Following paragraph after paragraph of this kind of personal invective, Mr. Mathias then suggests that:

PP> In our opinion, the public deserves the truth regarding the real
PP> story of UFO's, government involvement and the Alien threat. That
PP> should be our objective. ... Perhaps you'll agree that, that
PP> objective is more productive than entertaining the public via the
PP> BBS links with a side-show of petty squabbles and bickering
PP> between individuals and organizations.

This is a noble sentiment, and one which we at ParaNet wholeheartedly agree with. Hopefully in the future the Phoenix Project's representatives will take some of their own advice and try to keep the rhetoric down to a more civilized level.

Having said that, let's look at the actual issues raised by this latest salvo from the Phoenix Project, and see how they stack up.

PP> Let us review the facts. You reacted by issuing a public warning
PP> to the members of ParaNet, which was also widely distributed via
PP> other BBS's nation-wide. That warning contained language which
PP> implied the information, and the source, were highly suspect.
PP> Thus, single-handedly, you created a strong impression throughout
PP> the UFO community, that our information was false. Many sincere

PP> people, trusting your qualifications, accepted your warning.

True.

PP> You took it upon yourself to make a snap judgement
PP> without examining the evidence.

False. We did not arrive at our conclusion single-handedly or instantaneously. Our analysis and the resulting warning were the products of considerable discussion among ParaNet's researchers and subscribers. They were also labeled as tentative, pending further investigation.

PP> In your message, you mention that you wrote to the Phoenix Project,
PP> after the fact and your preliminary judgement, requesting further
PP> information. You made the same comment in other BBS messages. You
PP> state that we did not respond to your request. You also imply, by
PP> insinuation, that this is a mark against us and a further
PP> indication that we are suspect. ... To date we have
PP> not received your letter of inquiry. Apparently, of all the mail
PP> we receive, your letter is the only one that has gone astray. We
PP> can only conclude that is was either lost in the mail or you didn't
PP> mail it. Did it ever occur to you to mail us a second request,
PP> when you did not receive a response to your first inquiry?

The letter was followed up with electronic mail to the Project's spokesman, Jack Mathias. The request for information was repeated through that channel. The request was refused.

PP> But, this was not the end of your attitude problem regarding the
PP> Phoenix Project. You did the same thing, again, issuing warnings,
PP> etc., with our release of the K-2 and the Ultimate Secret Reports.
PP> And, again, you had not seen or examined the supporting documents
PP> at the time you issued those warnings to ParaNet and the public.

We have already stated our reasons for suspecting the "K-2" and "Ultimate Secret" reports. As with the "Dulce" report, our suspicions went to the core of the entire concept and execution of both reports; consequently, it seemed unlikely that the "supporting documents" would make much difference. Our judgement in this matter was borne out when we received the "supporting documents" from another source. We were not impressed.

PP> Would we be out of line in concluding that your mind was
PP> already made-up?

Yes, that would be out of line, since our minds were not and in fact are not yet entirely made up. Our warnings were tentative, and in our view totally justified. So far we have not been provided with any evidence to the contrary. If such evidence is provided, we will not only change our minds but say so publicly.

PP> Fortunately, for the UFO Movement, other
PP> investigators and researchers don't share your opinion.

That's not the feedback we've been getting.

PP> You state in your initial message that "much of the information in
PP> the Dulce Report about Dulce and the Archuleta Mesa contradicts
PP> information already provided to ParaNet by other capable
PP> investigators." What information? Who provided it? How did you
PP> determine its validity?

Our information consists of the testimony of ParaNet investigators and
others who have been in Dulce and on the Mesa. Their experiences were
very different from what you describe, and it is difficult to reconcile
your claims with the findings of our own people.

PP> We formally request access to that
PP> information. We'd like to examine it ourselves. Can we obtain
PP> copies of "that" information?

Our investigation continues, and the information will be made public
when it is complete At that time we will be glad to provide you with a
complimentary copy of our report.

PP> Now, let us get to the main thrust of your message - your
PP> investigation to reveal the personnel of the Phoenix Project.
PP>
PP> [several paragraphs of meaningless abuse deleted]
>
PP> What, if anything, is the Phoenix Project guilty of? Is it the
PP> fact that we dared to question and investigate two of the sacred
PP> cows of UFO-dom namely the ones you mentioned, i.e., "underground
PP> alien bases, and the cluster of government projects referred to
PP> collectively as Operation Majestic Twelve?"

<Continued in next message..>

--
ParaNet Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (ParaNet
Information Service)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Phoenix Response - Part 2
Message-ID: <139864.2A9405B0@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 20 Aug 92 20:25:09 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 168

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from ParaNet Information Service

 * Originally dated 08-20-92 13:23

<..Continued from previous message>

No. ParaNet has long questioned both of those sacred cows. To this point we have seen no acceptable proof for the existence of any underground alien base near Dulce, nor have we seen adequate proof for the existence of "Operation Majestic Twelve". We have publicly stated as much on many occasions.

PP> According to our sources within the intelligence community, the
PP> Dulce Scam, perpetrated by the disinformation specialists of MAJI,
PP> better known to you as Majestic Twelve with help from the CIA and
PP> NSA, is considered one of their most brilliant success stories.

But, of course, these "sources" cannot be named, and all we have to go on is your word that they even exist. And, unfortunately, you are making a concerted effort to keep anyone from knowing who *you* are, either. Anonymous stories relayed by anonymous story tellers. Sorry, but that's not "evidence".

PP> We sent in experienced investigators, not amateurs, to check out
PP> the alleged Dulce Base. Those people knew what to look for, how to
PP> look for it, how to get answers, and are not easily mislead.

We have no evidence for this except your say-so.

PP> If you do not agree with our findings, get off your posterior, go
PP> to Dulce, and check it out for yourself.

We have.

PP> In fact, we invite
PP> anybody to do the same thing. We're sure you'll find exactly what
PP> we did ...

We didn't.

PP> You imply that you're good at asking questions -- how are you at
PP> answering them? We have a few questions ... Would you mind sharing
PP> with all of us, everyone on the BBS's and the public, what hard
PP> evidence you have that, without question, supports the presence and
PP> validity of the Dulce Base.

Would you mind sharing what hard evidence you have that we ever said anything of the kind? We have never said anything in support of the presence of a secret alien base at Dulce. In point of fact, we consider it extremely unlikely that any such base exists--at Dulce or anywhere else. That's one of the reasons we have so much trouble with your "K-2" report, which purports to document the existence of just such a base in California.

PP> Unless you have irrefutable
PP> evidence to present, made available for public scrutiny and

PP> evaluation, which invalidates the findings of the Phoenix Project
PP> regarding Dulce, K-2, or the Ultimate Secret, or our future
PP> reports, back off. Either put-up or shut-up. In other words, get
PP> off our back.

All right, challenge accepted. Let's start with this statement from
your "Ultimate Secret" report:

PP> According to eye-witness testimony, the CIA
PP> agent in charge of this covert operation, wearing the
PP> uniform of an AF Colonel, was William C. Cooper. ...
PP> This witness testifies that this is the
PP> same William C. Cooper, who has been prominent since 1988
PP> in the civilian UFO movement.

Is this "William C. Cooper" supposed to be the famous Bill Cooper we
have all come to know so well? Apparently so. Unfortunately, in his
own published documents Bill Cooper gives his full name as "Milton
William Cooper", not "William C. Cooper". Either Cooper doesn't know
his own name, or the Phoenix Project's "eye witness" doesn't know what
he's talking about.

And while we're on the subject of Bill Cooper, it is instructive to
compare some of the text of the Phoenix Project's "Ultimate Secret"
document with some of Bill Cooper's material on the same subject.
Cooper writes in his "Operation Majority--Final Release":

BC> [Project Grudge] was financed by CIA confidential
BC> funds (nonappropriated)
BC> and money from the illicit drug trade ...
BC> The purpose of Project Grudge
BC> was to collect all scientific, technological, medical and
BC> intelligence information from UFO/IAC sightings and
BC> contacts with alien life forms. This orderly file of
BC> collected information has been used to advance the United
BC> States Space Program.

Now look at the corresponding paragraph of the Phoenix Project's
"Ultimate Secret" report:

PP> Project Aquarius was funded by CIA confidential
PP> funds (non-appropriated) ...
PP> The purpose of Project Aquarius
PP> was to collect all scientific, technological, medical and
PP> intelligence information from UFO/IAC sightings and
PP> contacts with alien lifeforms. This orderly file of
PP> collected information has been used to advance the United
PP> States' Space Program and provided the data needed to
PP> develop present stealth technology.

You don't have to be an intelligence agent to see that these two
passages are virtually identical in both content and phrasing. Since
Cooper's statement is dated 10 January 1989, more than half a year
before the "Ultimate Secret" report's "origination" date of 4 August

1989, we seem to be left with three possibilities: (1) Cooper was somehow privy to the Phoenix Project's investigative results even before they were first put to paper; or, (2) the Phoenix Project plagiarized Cooper's writings; or (3) the Phoenix Project's own investigations drew on the same tainted and discredited sources as Cooper apparently did in compiling his own materials. Whichever one you pick, it's not a pretty picture.

But it gets worse:

PP> The basic information revealing the existence of
PP> Operation Majestic-12, the crashed UFOs, alien beings,
PP> and their secret bases within the United States, was
PP> obtained through the Freedom of Information Act from the
PP> files of the CIA, NSA, FBI, State Department, the U.S.
PP> Air Force.

This is utter nonsense, as any perusal of published FOIA documents on UFOs would quickly reveal. FOIA requests have forced the government to disgorge many hundreds of pages of UFO documents over the years, but they provide little if any support for the existence of Operation Majestic Twelve, crashed saucers, alien beings, or secret bases. If the Phoenix Project is relying on already published documents as their source for this claim (e.g., "The UFO Cover-UP" by Lawrence Fawcett and Barry Greenwood, or "Above Top Secret" by Timothy Good), then clearly they have not examined them very carefully. On the other hand, if the project really does have such explosive FOIA documents in its possession, let's see them; their publication would do more to establish the project's credibility than anything else it could possibly do short of producing a live alien.

PP> PROJECT GRUDGE: This project was originally
PP> established in 1953, by order of President Eisenhower and
PP> is under the control of the CIA, NSA, and MAJI. Project
PP> Grudge went underground and another project, Project
PP> Sign, was established as a cover operation. In 1960, the
PP> Project's name was changed from Project SIGN to Project
PP> Bluebook.

This is demonstrably and totally wrong. Project Sign was established first, in 1947, and it was under the control of the Air Force, not the CIA. The name was changed to Project Grudge in 1949, and to Blue Book in 1952-- not 1960. (For details, see "The Report on Unidentified Flying Objects" by Edward J. Ruppelt, who headed the project from 1951 to 1953.) The exact dates slide around a little bit depending on whether you're talking about when the decision was made, when the order was signed, or when the order became effective; but the differences are on the order of months, not decades! How could the Phoenix Project's experienced intelligence agents make so many ludicrous errors in a single paragraph?

<Concluded in next message..>

--

ParaNet Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (ParaNet Information Service)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Phoenix Response - Conclusion
Message-ID: <139865.2A9405B4@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 20 Aug 92 20:25:11 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 222

 * Forwarded from "ParaNet UFO Echo"
 * Originally from ParaNet Information Service
 * Originally dated 08-20-92 13:24

<<..Continued from previous message>>

In fact, this whole business was such an embarrassing mess that the Phoenix Project issued a "correction" document to try to straighten it out. But, ironically, the correction is also wrong--just less obviously so.

We could go on, but I think you get the point. The "Ultimate Secret" report is, at best, a rehash of other people's garbage. At worst, it is a deliberate effort to confuse and disinform.

PP> We actively encourage other serious investigators to use the
PP> information we have provided as a basis for conducting their own
PP> inquiry and to carry-on our effort. Can you, Mr. Corbin, or
PP> ParaNet, or Mufon, make the same claim. Or, is it true that the
PP> results of critical investigations are held sacred by the elite
PP> leadership of these organizations, and are not shared with the
PP> member's of their organizations or the public?

We can't speak for other organizations, but in the case of ParaNet we have always made our results public as soon as our investigations are complete.

PP> In your message, you insinuate that because of our past military
PP> and intelligence backgrounds, our area of expertise so-to-speak,
PP> that the motives of the Phoenix Project are suspect. You further
PP> insinuate that we are possibly government operatives attempting to
PP> send serious researchers off on a variety of wild goose chases.

Given the prior history of government disinformation in ufology, most of it purveyed by active or former intelligence agents and their victims, anyone who (1) purports to have a military intelligence background, (2) refuses to divulge their identity, and (3) propagates known disinformation as reliable intelligence (whether deliberately or

not) should expect his motives to be considered suspect until proven otherwise. It is extremely naive of you to think it would happen any other way.

PP> If anyone needed assurance that the truth regarding UFO's will
PP> remain a deep, dark, secret -- they can rest secure in the
PP> knowledge that you, are on the job. There are any number of
PP> government agencies who would welcome you with open arms.
PP> Expect some offers.

Sorry, none so far. We'll let you know if we get any.

PP> We are sure that the honest and sincere members of ParaNet and
PP> other UFO investigative organizations (and there are many) must be
PP> seriously considering whether your qualifications, fitness and
PP> investigative ability warrant your continuance in a position of
PP> leadership within what used to be a respected research
PP> organization.

Exactly the opposite, actually. Most of our people are grateful for the warning, and are coming to the same conclusions as we did.

PP> Instead of making an honest attempt to validate or
PP> disprove our findings regarding the subjects mentioned -- missing
PP> the point completely, you chose to become obsessed with determining
PP> the identity of Phoenix Project personnel. For what reason? Do you
PP> intend to judge the validity of the information based on the
PP> credentials of those providing it? Some people would interpret
PP> that as putting the cart before the horse.

And some people would interpret it as a determination not to fall prey to the same fate as far too many others in this field, who trusted strangers too easily and ended up wasting years chasing wild geese--or worse.

PP> Explain to us how or
PP> why the credentials of our investigators, or their identity, have
PP> any bearing on the validity of the information. Either the
PP> information is true or it isn't. It's as simple as that, or does
PP> that simple fact escape you.

The credentials of your investigators have a strong bearing on whether or not it is even worth the trouble to examine your "information". Anybody can sit down for a few hours at a word processor and cook up reams of tittilating "information" about almost any subject under the sun. But unless there is good reason to think there might be something to it, it's a fool's errand to try to chase it all down.

It's like this: If ordinary claims come from an anonymous source, they may be assigned some measure of trust simply because they accord with everyday experience. If extraordinary claims come from a reputable source, they may be assigned some measure of trust simply because of the proven track record of the person making the claims. But when extraordinary claims originate from an anonymous source, they generally

are given no credence at all, because there is simply no reason to believe they are true. Life is too short to chase every wild goose that comes cackling along. There must be *some* reason--either in the plausibility of the claim or the authority of the claimant--to think it's worth the trouble. You have provided neither one.

PP> How can we, or others, be assured of your motives. One does
PP> not need a brilliant mind to envision a scenario where the
PP> information the Phoenix Project has released is discredited because
PP> of an act of character assignation.

Please explain what "character assignation" is, and then maybe we can envision the scenario you have in mind.

PP> Suppose we asked these questions -- would you be prepared to
PP> respond to them? Who are you? What are your credentials? Who are
PP> those holding positions of leadership in ParaNet? What are their
PP> qualifications and credentials? How do we know that you or ParaNet
PP> are not controlled by government operatives? What qualifications
PP> are required to hold a position of leadership within ParaNet?

There has never been any secret about what ParaNet is or who it consists of. Lists of ParaNet nodes and their sysops have been posted to the net on several occasions. Anyone who wants to follow the ParaNet BBS echoes can log in to a local ParaNet node, receive the echo digests over the net, or download them from our FTP archives. All postings are signed with the user name and node ID of the originator. All articles in Continuum, ParaNet's quarterly magazine, are signed by the authors. I'm sorry to disappoint you, but neither our personnel nor our purposes are in any way secret or mysterious.

PP> We were unaware that anyone had ever attempted to create a
PP> corporation in Nevada calling itself the Phoenix Project. Due to
PP> the nature of our work, and to protect the identity of our
PP> personnel it would be a foolish endeavor. We never made an attempt
PP> to incorporate our organization in any State.

The incorporation issue originally came up because we were trying to track down your trademark registration. We have been unable to find any such registration, yet at the beginning of each of your reports you explicitly state that "all publications of the "Phoenix Project" bear the Project's Logo (a registered trade-mark)." Is that a lie? It certainly seems that a trademark registration of the project logo would be almost as much of a threat to "the identity of your personnel" as incorporation would be.

PP> You suggest a possible link between our organization and America
PP> West. Sorry about that, but you're dead wrong. It has come to us
PP> from several sources that we're not on their list of favorite
PP> people. We will take this opportunity to categorically deny that
PP> we have any affiliation with America West, their publication the
PP> "Phoenix Liberator," or any other publication they provide.
PP>
PP> Do not expect us to respond to the other coincidences,

PP> suppositions, insinuations or innuendoes contained in your message.

Why? Perhaps because there are other "insinuations" that cannot be truthfully denied? As a matter of fact, we now have solid confirmation of another one of our "insinuations"--i.e., the fact that the Richard Miller who owns Advent Publishing is indeed the same Richard Miller who used to channel "Hatonn". That confirmation came from none other than Mr. Miller himself. So I guess we're not doing too badly.

In consideration of your explicit denials of any ties to America West, and in view of the additional information provided privately by Mr. Miller, we withdraw our previous suggestion of possible connections between the Phoenix Project and the America West/Phoenix Liberator operation. As we stated before, those suggestions were tentative pending further investigation, and further investigation has not uncovered any additional evidence to support them. Unfortunately, this is the kind of burden that the Phoenix Project inevitably took on when it chose to publicly portray itself as a clandestine organization.

PP> Since you brought up America West and The "Phoenix Liberator," why
PP> not turn your investigative abilities loose on their organization.
PP> Just suppose that Milton Cooper is, quietly, linked to their
PP> organization. That should intrigue you.

It does, if true. We also find it intriguing that you are, apparently, using Cooper's material without attributing it to him--thereby lending it credibility when there is every indication that it is completely bogus.

PP> Equally intriguing, is
PP> where their funding comes from - not the obvious subscription
PP> income - the covert funding. Or, how about the busy and numerous,
PP> off premise, writers that prepare the volumes of "Hatonn" material,
PP> and their use of high-speed modems to provide the copy for each
PP> weekly issue of the "Phoenix Liberator" and the dozens of books
PP> they produce. In our supposition, we're talking about a big-time
PP> operation. You might also check out their printing facilities,
PP> distribution centers, and world-wide circulation. Equally
PP> fascinating is their sudden rise, in a few short years, to the top
PP> of the New Age Movement. You might even think to ask yourself, why
PP> the New Age Movement? What possible connection is there with
PP> covert government UFO activities, or a New World Order, with the
PP> New Age Movement? The answer to that might be revealed, if you dig
PP> deep enough, and discover high-speed modem links between their
PP> headquarters and certain organizations located at Langley and Ft.
PP> Meade. Yes, if you really dig, you might uncover all kinds of
PP> interesting things about America West.

Thanks for the tip.

PP> As to your effort in trying to identify staff personnel of the
PP> Phoenix Project -- good luck. However, we do have to admit that
PP> you may get lucky and hit on a couple of them. However, since
PP> there are many, it is doubtful you will ever get beyond that point.

Our only interest in the personnel of the Phoenix Project is to determine whether the Project has a hidden agenda, and whether it is covertly linked to other organizations whose agendas are known. That interest was made necessary by the Project's clandestine nature and consequent lack of public accountability. You brought it on yourselves, and your continuing hostility and evasiveness suggest that we were not entirely mistaken in our suspicions.

Our investigation continues. We'll let you know what we find out.

Michael Corbin
Director
ParaNet Information Service

END

--
ParaNet Information Service - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: ParaNet.Information.Service@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Newsgroups: alt.alien.visitors
Subject: Phoenix Project
Message-ID: <141134.2B0D4A28@paranet.FIDONET.ORG>
Date: 20 Nov 92 18:48:02 GMT
Sender: ufgate@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (newsout1.26)
Organization: FidoNet node 1:104/428.0 - <ParaNet(sm) , Arvada CO
Lines: 105

 * Originally by Michael Corbin, 1:104/422
 * Originally to Michael Corbin, 1:104/428
 * Originally dated 20 Nov 1992, 11:48

This file was contributed by Don Kircher, a ParaNet subscriber.

Our thanks to Don for his investigation into the Phoenix Project.

Michael Corbin

10/23/92

Copyrighted Radio Transmissions from Aliens

Abstract

K-2; the alleged alien base in the Plumas National Forest is branded a hoax by default when the recorded radio transmission is found to be a copy of a tape which was available in bookstores

across the country.

Key Words
Phoenix Project,K-2,Underground Base,Alien,Plumas National Forest
Pilot Point, PP report #920627, hoax

From the moment I became aware of the Roswell case I have maintained an ongoing interest in crashed ufos, direct contact, and abductions. I tend to be more open to statements than some, taking an innocent until proven guilty attitude, rather than the more adversarial "show me" stance. (I am not from Missouri). This means I listen to everything. I save everything. I cross index everything. But I challenge very little. The jury remains out until something is produced which can withstand the scientific method, or is good enough to go before a courtroom judge. The result is a very large "wait and see" file, and occasionally a solidly closed case.

My wait and see attitude combined with a healthy dose of dumb luck has permitted me to move the K-2 report squarely from the wait and see file into the closed section. Cross indexed under HOAX.

I have closely monitored the netmail concerning the K-2 report since the story first broke in June. Direct e mail with the spokesman for the Phoenix Project and conversations with other interested parties have consumed a sizeable portion of my storage space. Yet I remained unwilling to send the Phoenix Project the money it desired for an original copy of the reports.

Conversations with David Brune led to an arrangement for him to send me his copy of the K-2 report along with a copy of the taped radio transmission which led the Phoenix Project to investigate the K-2 site to begin with. I received the packet in early October and was surprised to find the contents of the tape familiar to me.

It should be noted that the tape received from David is labeled K-2 Radio Transmission Monaural (58:20) and was associated with a descriptive paper noting its origin having been recorded on June, 5, 1989 at 0200 PDT from shortwave frequency 16.222 Megahertz at Forbestown, California. A note from the technical data states that "Oscilloscope observation of the recorded signal reveals the presence of pulsed digital information impressed on the predominant analog audio signal components". It then goes on to say that "This digital data may be encrypted".

This description of the K-2 radio transmission is inconsistent with my own findings. The actual contents of the K-2 tape are a monaural reproduction of a tape which I purchased

several years ago from a local bookstore. I am happy to say that after some digging in my garage I was able to locate my STEREO commercial production tape, and that it is still in good condition. My own tape is labeled ASTRAL SOUNDS and was copyrighted 1973 by The American Research Team. The tape was distributed under license by POTENTIALS UNLIMITED, INC. Potentials Unlimited marketed a wide variety of self help/self hypnosis/subliminal message tapes in the late eighties. Their products were available in the big chain bookstores such as Waldons and B Daltons. The original intent of the ASTRAL SOUNDS tape was to synchronized sound with brain wave frequencies for some interesting effects during listening/meditation.

Both tapes have been donated to Paranet for the listening pleasure of serious researchers. If you have been considering purchasing ANYTHING from the PHOENIX PROJECT. I strongly advise you to reconsider.

Regards

Donald Kircher

--
Michael Corbin - via ParaNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@p0.f428.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG